

City of Appleton

100 North Appleton Street Appleton, WI 54911-4799 www.appletonwi.gov

Meeting Agenda - Final-revised Utilities Committee

Tuesday, June 10, 2025 4:30 PM Council Chambers, 6th Floor

- 1. Call meeting to order
- 2. Pledge of Allegiance
- 3. Roll call of membership
- 4. Approval of minutes from previous meeting

<u>25-0639</u> Approval of the May 27, 2025 Utilities Committee Meeting Minutes.

Attachments: May 27, 2025 Utilities Committee Meeting Minutes.pdf

- 5. Public Hearing/Appearances
- 6. Action Items

25-0653 Request Approval of the Electronic Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (eCMAR) for 2024 and Request the following Resolution be presented to the Common Council for approval:

Whereas, the City of Appleton manages, operates, and maintains a sewer collection system and wastewater treatment plant; and

Whereas, treatment efforts produce a liquid effluent and a biosolids that are returned to the environment; and

Whereas, the State of Wisconsin evaluates wastewater utilities throughout the State of Wisconsin through an electronic Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (eCMAR); and

Whereas, Appleton received the score of 4.0 GPA; and

Whereas, the State of Wisconsin requests the Common Council pass a resolution accepting the eCMAR report;

Now, therefore, be it resolved by the City Council that the City of Appleton:

Article1. Continue supporting the treatment and maintenance programs at the utility

Article 2. Continue planning efforts that will address and promote long term performance results at the facility.

Attachments: Validated eCMAR 2024.pdf

7. Information Items

<u>25-0679</u> Pending Letter of Intent with Renewable Natural Gas (RNG) Company

Attachments: 250606 UC Info Memo_RNG Agreement LOI.pdf

<u>25-0396</u> Water Main Break Reports for February, March, and April 2025

- Water Distribution and Meter Team Monthly Report February
- Water Distribution and Meter Team Monthly Report March
- Water Distribution and Meter Team Monthly Report April

Attachments: 2 - February 2025 - Water Main Breaks.pdf

3 - March 2025 - Water Main Breaks.pdf

4 - April 2025 - Water Main Breaks.pdf

8. Adjournment

Notice is hereby given that a quorum of the Common Council may be present during this meeting, although no Council action will be taken.

Reasonable Accommodations for Persons with Disabilities will be made upon Request and if Feasible.

For questions on the agenda, contact Chris Stempa at 920-832-5945.



City of Appleton

100 North Appleton Street Appleton, WI 54911-4799 www.appletonwi.gov

Meeting Minutes - Final Utilities Committee

Tuesday, May 27, 2025 4:30 PM Council Chambers, 6th Floor

1. Call meeting to order

Chairperson Meltzer called the Utilities Committee to order at 4:30 p.m.

- 2. Pledge of Allegiance
- 3. Roll call of membership

Present: 4 - Meltzer, Stancil-Martin, Lambrecht and Dougherty

Excused: 1 - Heffernan

4. Approval of minutes from previous meeting

<u>25-0584</u> Approval of the April 22, 2025 Utilities Committee Meeting Minutes.

Attachments: April 22, 2025 Utilities Committee Meeting Minutes.pdf

Dougherty moved, seconded by Stancil-Martin, that the April 22, 2025 Utilities Committee Meeting minutes be approved. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Meltzer, Stancil-Martin, Lambrecht and Dougherty

Excused: 1 - Heffernan

- 5. Public Hearing/Appearances
- 6. Action Items

25-0585

Award the North and Ridgeway Tower Coating Contract Bid with Alternate Bids to O&J Coatings Inc. in the amount of \$625,000 with 5% contingency of \$31,250 for a project total not to exceed \$656,250.

<u>Attachments:</u> 250523 UC Memo Ridgeway-North Tower Coating Project Bid

Award.pdf

Recommendation Letter.pdf

Lambrecht moved, seconded by Stancil-Martin, that the North and Ridgeway Tower Coating Contract Bid with Alternate Bids to O&J Coatings Inc. in the amount of \$625,000 with 5% contingency of \$31,250 for a project total not to exceed \$656,250 be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Meltzer, Stancil-Martin, Lambrecht and Dougherty

Excused: 1 - Heffernan

7. Information Items

8. Adjournment

Lambrecht moved, seconded by Stancil-Martin, that the Utilities Committee Meeting be adjourned at 4:38 p.m.. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Meltzer, Stancil-Martin, Lambrecht and Dougherty

Excused: 1 - Heffernan

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2024 6/5/2025

Influent Flow and Loading

- 1. Monthly Average Flows and BOD Loadings
- 1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and BOD loadings to your facility.

Influent No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	x	Influent Monthly Average BOD Concentration mg/L	x	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	12.3803	Х	289	Х	8.34	=	29,788
February	13.1803	Х	250	Х	8.34	=	27,426
March	13.0687	Х	276	Х	8.34	=	30,118
April	18.7537	Х	213	Х	8.34	=	33,236
May	15.5865	Х	267	Х	8.34	=	34,643
June	18.3433	Х	273	Х	8.34	=	41,688
July	20.6423	Х	142	Х	8.34	=	24,360
August	13.5732	Х	250	Х	8.34	=	28,300
September	9.9943	Х	320	Х	8.34	=	26,673
October	8.8161	Х	435	Х	8.34	=	31,947
November	16.3367	Х	271	Х	8.34	=	36,923
December	11.4065	Х	276	Х	8.34	=	26,256

- 2. Maximum Monthly Design Flow and Design BOD Loading
- 2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	х	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	24.2	х	90	=	21.78
		Х	100	=	24.2
Design BOD, lbs/day	40900	х	90	=	36810
		Х	100	=	40900

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

	Months of Influent	flow was greater	Number of times flow was greater than 100% of	BOD was greater	Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design	
January	1	0	0	0	0	
February	1	0	0	0	0	
March	1	0	0	0	0	
April	1	0	0	0	0	
May	1	0	0	0	0	
June	1	0	0	1	2	
July	1	0	0	0	0	
August	1	0	0	0	0	
September	1	0	0	0	0	
October	1	0	0	0	0	
November	1	0	0	1	0	
December	1	0	0	0	0	
Points per ea	ach	2	1	3	2	
Exceedances	Exceedances		inces 0 0		2	1
Points	Points 0		0	6	2	
Total Numb	er of Po	oints			8	

8

6. Pretreatment

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/5/2025 2024 3. Flow Meter 3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year? Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY) Yes 2024-07-22 o No If No, please explain: NA 4. Sewer Use Ordinance 4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences? Yes o No If No, please explain: 4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance? Yes o No If Yes, please explain: Infractions occurred that exceeded the industrial limits for ph. All industries demonstrated a return to compliance for these infractions. An industry failed to self-monitor for cyanide and hexavalent chromium that discharged to Appleton sanitary outfall. This industry has since returned to compliance. The AWWTP did not experience an upset as a result of these discharges and infractions. 5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility? Septic Tanks Holding Tanks **Grease Traps** o Yes o Yes Yes No No No 5.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in gallons. Septic Tanks o Yes gallons No Holding Tanks o Yes gallons No Grease Traps o Yes gallons No 5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes. NA

6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns,

or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to

commercial or industrial discharges in the last year?

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/5/2025 **2024**

o Yes

No

If yes, describe the situation and your community's response.

NA

6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.?

- Yes
- O No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

AWWTP receives food processing wastes and landfill leachate. All wastes are tested prior to acceptance. Acceptance is based on toxicity and loading potential. Once waste has been screened and approved by AWWTP staff, it is discharged to the headworks or digestion for treatment.

Total Points Generated	8
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	92
Section Grade	Α

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2024 6/5/2025

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

- 1. Effluent (C)BOD Results
- 1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or **CBOD**

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit > 10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance		
January	25	22.5	10	1	0	0		
February	25	22.5	8	1	0	0		
March	25	22.5	5	1	0	0		
April	25	22.5	5	1	0	0		
May	25	22.5	4	1	0	0		
June	25	22.5	4	1	0	0		
July	25	22.5	4	1	0	0		
August	25	22.5	3	1	0	0		
September	25	22.5	5	1	0	0		
October	25	22.5	8	1	0	0		
November	25	22.5	5	1	0	0		
December	25	22.5	6	1	0	0		
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10				
Months of d	ischarge/yr			12				
Points per e	ach exceedanc		7	3				
Exceedances						0		
Points	Points 0							
Total numb	otal number of points 0							

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

N	Α

- 2. Flow Meter Calibration
- 2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

o Yes

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

No

If No, please explain:

Our effluent outfall wasn't designed for installation of a flowmeter. Influent flow is used in place of an effluent flowmeter.

- 3. Treatment Problems
- 3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

None

- 4. Other Monitoring and Limits
- 4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?

o Yes

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/5/2025 **2024**

No

If Yes, please explain:

NA

- 4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) test?
- o Yes
- No

If Yes, please explain:

NA

- 4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?
- Yes
- o No
- N/A

Please explain unless not applicable:

NA

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated 6/5/2025

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2024

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

Monthly	90% of	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit	90% Permit						
Average	Permit Limit	Average (mg/L)	Discharge	Exceedance	Limit						
Limit (mg/L)	>10 (mg/L)		with a Limit		Exceedance						
30	27	4	1	0	0						
30	27	3	1	0	0						
30	27	3	1	0	0						
30	27	3	1	0	0						
30	27	1	1	0	0						
30	27	2	1	0	0						
30	27	2	1	0	0						
30	27	0	1	0	0						
30	27	2	1	0	0						
30	27	4	1	0	0						
30	27	3	1	0	0						
30	27	3	1	0	0						
	* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10								
ischarge/yr			12								
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge: 7											
Exceedances 0											
Points 0											
ber of Points				oints 0 0 Otal Number of Points 0							
	Average Limit (mg/L) 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 3	Average Limit (mg/L) 30 27 30 30 27 30 27 30 30 30 27 30 30 30 27 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 3	Average Permit Limit >10 (mg/L) Average (mg/L) 30 27 4 30 27 3 30 27 3 30 27 3 30 27 1 30 27 2 30 27 2 30 27 2 30 27 2 30 27 2 30 27 2 30 27 2 30 27 2 30 27 2 30 27 2 30 27 4 30 27 3 30 27 3 30 27 3 30 27 3 30 27 3 30 27 3 30 27 3 30 27 3 30 27 3 30 27 3 30 27 3 30 27 3 30 27 3 30 27 3 30 27 3 30 27 3 30 30 27 3 30 30 27 3 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	Average Limit (mg/L) Permit Limit >10 (mg/L) Average (mg/L) Discharge with a Limit with a Limit 30 27 4 1 30 27 3 1 30 27 3 1 30 27 3 1 30 27 1 1 30 27 2 1 30 27 2 1 30 27 2 1 30 27 2 1 30 27 2 1 30 27 4 1 30 27 3 1 30 27 3 1 30 27 3 1 30 27 3 1 30 27 3 1 30 27 3 1 30 27 3 1 30 27 3 1 <	Average Limit (mg/L) Permit Limit >10 (mg/L) Average (mg/L) Discharge with a Limit Exceedance 30 27 4 1 0 30 27 3 1 0 30 27 3 1 0 30 27 3 1 0 30 27 1 1 0 30 27 2 1 0 30 27 2 1 0 30 27 2 1 0 30 27 2 1 0 30 27 2 1 0 30 27 3 1 0 30 27 3 1 0 30 27 3 1 0 30 27 3 1 0 30 27 3 1 0 30 27 3 1 0						

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

NA

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/5/2025 2024

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)

1. Effluent Ammonia Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for ammonia

Outfall No.	Monthly	Weekly	Effluent	Monthly	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Weekly
001	Average	Average	Monthly	Permit	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Permit
	NH3	NH3	Average	Limit	Average	Average	Average	Average	Limit
	Limit	Limit	NH3	Exceed	for Week	for Week	for Week	for Week	Exceed
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	ance	1	2	3	4	ance
January	10	28	3.254	0	4.126	2.366	3.11	2.829	0
February	10	28	2.13	0	2.319	1.141	3.043	1.95	0
March	10	28	1.012	0	1.733	.477	.249	1.896	0
April	11	29	.318	0	.673	.433	.096	.127	0
May	11		.172	0					0
June	4.4	11	.276	0	.167	.169	.273	.543	0
July	4.4	11	.407	0	.211	.35	.421	.596	0
August	4.4	11	.261	0	.27	.183	.383	.25	0
September	4.4	11	.608	0	.23	1.003	.343	.856	0
October	18		1.185	0					0
November	18		.294	0					0
December	18		1.537	0					0
Points per e	ach excee	dance of N	1onthly av	erage:					10
Exceedances, Monthly:								0	
Points:								0	
Points per each exceedance of weekly average (when there is no monthly average):								2.5	
Exceedances, Weekly:								0	
Points:									0
Total Num	ber of Po	ints							0

NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for monthly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to determine exceedances and generate points.

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

NA

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

0

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

0

6/5/2025 2024

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)

1. Effluent Phosphorus Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit
	phosphorus Limit	Average phosphorus	Discharge with a	Exceedance
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	Limit	
January	1	0.240	1	0
February	1	0.165	1	0
March	1	0.213	1	0
April	1	0.143	1	0
May	1	0.146	1	0
June	1	0.248	1	0
July	1	0.278	1	0
August	1	0.204	1	0
September	1	0.219	1	0
October	1	0.304	1	0
November	1	0.182	1	0
December	1	0.193	1	0
Months of Discharg				
Points per each e	10			
Exceedances	0			
Total Number of	Points			0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

NA

Total Points Generated				
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100			
Section Grade	Α			

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/5/2025 2024

Biosolids Quality and Management

1. Biosolids Use/Disposal 1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply)									
2. Land Application Site 2.1 Last Year's Approved and Active Land Application Sites 2.1.1 How many acres did you have? 13105.9 acres 2.1.2 How many acres did you use? 1056									
3. Biosolids Metals Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit: 3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the last calendar year. Outfall No. 009 - Biosolids- Compost Class B Parameter 80% H.Q. Ceiling Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec 80% High Ceiling Value Quality Arsenic 41 75 0 0 0 Cadmium 39 85 0 0 0 Cadmium 39 85 0 0 0 Lead 300 840 0 0 0 Mercury 17 57 0 0 0 Molybdenum 60 75 0 0 0 Selenium 80 100 0 0 0 Selenium 80 100 0 0 0									
Zinc 2800 7500 0 0	H								

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/5/2025 **2024**

0 16 11 11																		1
Outfall No	0. 01	0 - B	iosoli	ds- (Com	post	Clas	S A										
Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75									1.85					0	0
Cadmium		39	85									<.534					0	0
Copper		1500	4300									35					0	0
Lead		300	840									9.23					0	0
Mercury		17	57									<.077					0	0
Molybdenum	60		75									<1.43				0		0
Nickel	336		420									9.4				0		0
Selenium	80		100									<5.01				0		0
Zinc		2800	7500									101					0	0
Outfall No. 0	03 - Ca	ake Slu	ıdge															
Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75	<8.07		<6.94		1.61		<6.3		<16		<16			0	0
Cadmium		39	85	<.19		<.162		<.193		<.147		<.382		<.381			0	0
Copper		1500	4300	58		67		64		52		61		74			0	0
Lead		300	840	3		7.16		4.47		5.29		7.57		5.44			0	0
Mercury		17	57	.129		<.122		<.122		<.116		.129		.086			0	0
Molybdenum	60		75	4.29		3.3		4.74		3.09		<4.11		5.13		0		0
Nickel	336		420	12		12		13		11		13		11		0		0
Selenium	80		100	<7.13		<6.12		<7.16		<5.55		<14		<14		0		0
Zinc		2800	7500	119		150		156		130		142		143			0	0

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0

Exceedence Points

- 0 (0 Points)
- 1-2 (10 Points)
- \circ > 2 (15 Points)
- 3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)
- Yes
- No (10 points)
- N/A Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)
- N/A Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)
- 3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0 Exceedence Points
- 0 (0 Points)
- 0 1 (10 Points)
- $\circ > 1$ (15 Points)
- 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit?
- O Yes (20 Points)
- No (0 Points)
- 3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified?

NA

- 4. Pathogen Control (per outfall):
- 4.1 Verify the following information. If any information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu.

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Appleton wastewater Treatment F	6/5/2025
Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	01/01/2024 - 02/29/2024
Density:	3,903
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Anaerobic Digestion with a 21-Day HRT
Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	03/01/2024 - 04/30/2024
Density:	11,883
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Anaerobic Digestion with a 21-Day HRT
Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	05/01/2024 - 06/30/2024
Density:	9,754
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Anaerobic Digestion with a 21-Day HRT
Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	07/01/2024 - 08/31/2024
Density:	3,118
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Anaerobic Digestion with a 21-Day HRT

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2024

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

6/5/2025 Outfall Number: 003 Biosolids Class: В Bacteria Type and Limit: Fecal Coliform Sample Dates: 09/01/2024 - 10/31/2024 Density: 11,728 CFU/G TS Sample Concentration Amount: Requirement Met: Yes Land Applied: Yes Anaerobic Digestion Process: Process Description: Anaerobic Digestion with a 21-Day HRT Outfall Number: 003 Biosolids Class: В Bacteria Type and Limit: Fecal Coliform Sample Dates: 11/01/2024 - 12/31/2024 Density: 12,296 Sample Concentration Amount: CFU/G TS Requirement Met: Yes Land Applied: No Anaerobic Digestion Process: Anaerobic digestion with a 21-day HRT Process Description: Outfall Number: 010 Biosolids Class: Α Bacteria Type and Limit: Fecal Coliform Sample Dates: 01/01/2024 - 03/31/2024 Density: 18 Sample Concentration Amount: MPN/G TS Requirement Met: Yes Land Applied: No Process: Composting The Composting material maintained a Process Description: temperature of 55 degrees C or higher for 15 days or longer. During this period, a minimum of 5 windrow turns occured.

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2024

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/5/2025 **2024**

Outfall Number:	010
Biosolids Class:	A
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	04/01/2024 - 06/30/2024
Density:	3
Sample Concentration Amount:	MPN/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	Composting
Process Description:	The Composting material maintained a temperature of 55 degrees C or higher for 15 days or longer. During this period, a minimum of 5 windrow turns occured.

Outfall Number:	010
Biosolids Class:	A
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	07/01/2024 - 09/30/2024
Density:	39
Sample Concentration Amount:	MPN/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Composting
Process Description:	The Composting material maintained a temperature of 55 degrees C or higher for 15 days or longer. During this period, a minimum of 5 windrow turns occured

Outfall Number:	010
Biosolids Class:	A
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	10/01/2024 - 12/31/2024
Density:	2
Sample Concentration Amount:	MPN/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	Composting
Process Description:	The Composting material maintained a temperature of 55 degrees C or higher for 15 days or longer. During this period, a minimum of 5 windrow turns occured.

- 4.2 If exceeded Class B limit or did not meet the process criteria at the time of land application.
- 4.2.1 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application?

 Yes (40 Points)
- No

If yes, what action was taken?

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/5/2025 **2024**

NA

0

- 5. Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfall):
- 5.1 Verify the following information. If any of the information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu.

Outfall Number:	003
Method Date:	01/16/2024
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	46.6

Outfall Number:	003
Method Date:	03/13/2024
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	43.1

Outfall Number:	003
Method Date:	05/28/2024
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	44.9

Outfall Number:	003
Method Date:	07/30/2024
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	55.4

Outfall Number:	003
Method Date:	09/24/2024
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	49.7

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/5/2025 **2024**

Outfall Number:	003	
Method Date:	12/31/2024	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	No	
Limit (if applicable):	>=38	
Results (if applicable):	51.6	
Outfall Number:	010	
Method Date:	03/31/2024	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Aerobic Composting Process	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	No	
Limit (if applicable):		
Results (if applicable):		
		_
Outfall Number:	010	
Method Date:	06/30/2024	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Aerobic Composting Process	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	No	
Limit (if applicable):		
Results (if applicable):		
		_
Outfall Number:	010	_
Method Date:	09/30/2024	_
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Aerobic Composting Process	_
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	
Limit (if applicable):		_
Results (if applicable):		
Outfall Number:	010	
Method Date:	12/31/2024	_
	Aerobic Composting Process	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	·	_
Requirement Met:	Yes	_
Land Applied:	No	_
Limit (if applicable):		_
Results (if applicable):		

6. Biosolids Storage

If yes, what action was taken?

No

NA

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/5/2025 2024

ur wastewater treatment

f	5.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site? ● >= 180 days (0 Points) ○ 150 - 179 days (10 Points)	
	o 120 - 149 days (20 Points)	
	o 90 - 119 days (30 Points)	0
	90 days (40 Points)	
	o N/A (0 Points)	
6	5.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why.	
	NA	
7.	Issues	
7	7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management:	,
	None	

Total Points Generated	
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/5/2025 **2024**

Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

1. Plant Staffing	
1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year?	
● Yes ○ No	
If No, please explain:	
1 140, pieuse explain.	
Could use more help/staff for:	
Could use more help/stail for:	
1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?	
Yes	
o No	
If No, please explain:	
Preventative Maintenance	
2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on	
major equipment items?	
Yes (Continue with question 2) □□ No (40 points)□□	
○ No (40 points)□□	
If No, please explain, then go to question 3:	
2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication,	
and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?◆ Yes	0
o No (10 points)	
2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and	
filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?	
• Yes	
O Paper file system	
 Computer system 	
Both paper and computer system	
O No (10 points)	
3. O&M Manual	
3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?	
• Yes	
o No	
4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs	
4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.	
o Excellent	
• Very good	
o Good	
○ Fair ○ Poor	
Describe your rating:	
besame your rading.	<u> </u>

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/5/2025 2024

Operation/maintenance staff are knowledgeable and dedicated to repairing immediate needs, while also planning ahead for future maintenance and capital improvement projects.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/5/2025

2024

0

Operator Certification and Education

- 1. Operator-In-Charge
- 1.1 Did you have a designated operator-in-charge during the report year?
- Yes (0 points)
- O No (20 points)

Name:

COLIN W STOFFEL

Certification No:

37553

- 2. Certification Requirements
- 2.1 In accordance with Chapter NR 114.56 and 114.57, Wisconsin Administrative Code, what level and subclass(es) were required for the operator-in-charge (OIC) to operate the wastewater treatment plant and what level and subclass(es) were held by the operator-in-charge?

Sub	SubClass Description	WWTP	OIC		
Class		Advanced	OIT	Basic	Advanced
A1	Suspended Growth Processes	Χ			X
A2	Attached Growth Processes				
А3	Recirculating Media Filters				
A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural				
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid				
В	Solids Separation	Χ			X
С	Biological Solids/Sludges	Χ			X
Р	Total Phosphorus	Χ			X
N	Total Nitrogen				
D	Disinfection	Χ			X
L	Laboratory	Χ			X
U	Unique Treatment Systems				
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	Х	NA	Х	NA

2.2 Was the operator-in-charge certified at the appropriate level and subclass(es) to operate this plant? (Note: Certification in subclass SS is required 5 years after permit reissuance.)

- Yes (0 points)
- No (20 points)
- 2.3 For wastewater treatment facilities with a registered or certified laboratory, is at least one operator that works in the laboratory certified at the basic level in the laboratory (L) subclass?
- Yes
- O N/A Wastewater treatment facility does not have a registered or certified laboratory
- 2.4 For wastewater treatment facilities that own and operate a sanitary sewage collection system, has at least one operator been designated the OIC for sanitary sewage collection system and certified at the basic level in the sanitary sewage collection system (SS) subclass?
- Yes
- O No
- o N/A Owner of the Wastewater treatment facility does not own and operate a sanitary sewage collection system
- 3. Succession Planning
- 3.1 In the event of the loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan to ensure the continued proper operation and maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the following options (check all that apply)?
- ☑ One or more additional certified operators on staff

0

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/5/2025 2024 ☐ An arrangement with another certified operator \square An arrangement with another community with a certified operator ☐ An operator on staff who has an operator-in-training certificate for your plant and is expected to be certified within one year ☐ A consultant to serve as your certified operator 0 ☐ None of the above (20 points) If "None of the above" is selected, please explain: 4. Continuing Education Credits 4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates? OIT and Basic Certification: • Averaging 6 or more CECs per year. • Averaging less than 6 CECs per year. Advanced Certification: • Averaging 8 or more CECs per year. Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.

Total Points Generated	
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/5/2025 2024

-inancia	Managamant
IIIalicia	 Management

1. Provider of Financial Information	
Name: Kelli Rindt	
Telephone: (920) 832-6316 (XXX) XXX-XXXX	
E-Mail Address	
(optional):	
kelli.rindt@appletonwi.gov	
2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues 2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cover O&M expenses for your wastewater treatment plant AND/OR collection system ? ● Yes (0 points) □□ ○ No (40 points) If No, please explain:	
NA	_
2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or revised? Year:	
2024	0
0-2 years ago (0 points) □□	
o 3 or more years ago (20 points)□□	
o N/A (private facility)	
 2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWFP required segregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or financial resources available for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatment plant and/or collection system? Yes (0 points) 	
O No (40 points)	
REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3]	
 Equipment Replacement Funds When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised? 	
Year:	
2024	
1-2 years ago (0 points)□□3 or more years ago (20 points)□□	
o N/A	
If N/A, please explain:	_
NA	
3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity	
3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR \$ 3,765,298.08	
3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, \$ 0.00 audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)	
3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance \$ 3,765,298.08	
3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee,	
earned interest, etc.) + \$ 134,921.98	

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/5/2025 **2024**

3.2.5	Subtra	ctions	from F	und (e.	g., e	equipme	ent
replac	ement,	major	repairs	- use	des	cription	box
3.2.6.	1 below	·*)	-			-	

\$ 0.00

3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year

\$ 3,900,220.06

All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.

3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repairs from 3.2.5 above.

NΑ

3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund?

3,106,515.69

Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally based on the Financial Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed. Further calculation instructions and an example can be found by clicking the SectionInstructions link under Info header in the left-side menu.

- 3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund above, (#3.2.6) equal to, or greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)?
- Yes
- O No

If No, please explain.

NA

- 4. Future Planning
- 4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for upgrading, rehabilitating, or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system?
- Yes If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already listed below. □□
 No

Project	Project Description		Approximate
#		Cost	Construction
			Year
1	Lift Station Upgrades	\$832,956	2025
2	Digester Cathodic Protection	\$1,091,125	2025
3	Sludge Storage Building Addition	\$1,647,685	2025
4	Grit System & Raw Sludge Pump	\$47,415	2025
5	Blended Sludge Pipe & Heat Exchanger	\$1,255,227	2025
6	Belt Filter Press upgrades and replacmemt	\$5,294,824	2025
7	Belt Filter Polymer System replacement	\$100,000	2025
8	Chemical System upgrades	\$150,000	2025
9	Mixed Liquor Channel Blower Aeration	\$561,172	2025
10	Receiving Station upgrades	\$4,000,000	2025
11	Receiving Station offloading pipe	\$100,000	2025
12	Primary Clarifiers rebuild	\$97,640	2025
13	Phosphorus Analyzer replacement	\$30,000	2025
14	IT Wireless Access/Network Upgrades	\$1,698,264	2025
15	HVAC Upgrades - current year project	\$314,223	2025
16	Lighting Upgrades - current year	\$57,790	2025
17	Electrical Distribution upgrades	\$200,346	2025
18	Green Energy Electrical upgrades	\$402,118	2025
19	MCC Controls Upgrades - current year	\$3,880,873	2025
20	Elevator Replacment	\$200,000	2025

0

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/5/2025 **2024**

21	Hardscape replacements - current year	\$985,923	2025
22	Sampler equipment replacement	\$45,000	2026
23	Roof Replacements - multi-year	\$1,300,000	2026
24	HVAC Upgrades - multi-year project	\$2,400,000	2026
25	Lighting Upgrades - multi-year	\$150,000	2026
26	MCC Controls Upgrades - multi-year	\$3,985,000	2026
27	Elevator Replacement	\$450,000	2026
28	Building Renovations	\$40,000	2026
29	Elevator Replacement	\$600,000	2027
30	Aeration Process upgrades	\$2,000,000	2027
31	Lift Station upgrades	\$1,850,000	2028
32	M-Bldg Equipment upgrades	\$1,600,000	2028
33	Elevator Replacement	\$50,000	2028
34	Elevator Replacement	\$550,000	2029
35	Wash Press Improvements	\$750,000	2029
36	Primary Clarifiers #1-4 Rebuild	\$1,500,000	2029

5. Financial Management General Comments

None

ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE

- 6. Collection System
- 6.1 Energy Usage
- 6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consumed

Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations: 12

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	24,797	243
February	24,741	133
March	23,995	93
April	24,949	52
May	15,584	15
June	19,606	7
July	21,817	8
August	15,808	6
September	12,790	6
October	12,795	69
November	18,679	352
December	26,190	291
Total	241,751	1,275
Average	20,146	106

6.1.2 Comments:

None

6.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

7.1 Energy Usage

6/5/2025 6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply): □ Comminution or Screening ☐ Extended Shaft Pumps □ Flow Metering and Recording ☐ Pneumatic Pumping ☐ SCADA System ✓ Variable Speed Drives ☐ Other: 6.2.2 Comments: None 6.3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations? O No Yes Year: 2009 By Whom: Donohue & Associates, McMahon Engineers Describe and Comment: In the last five years the following lift stations have been reviewed and new designs, some including new energy efficient pumps, VFDs, etc., have been completed through construction projects: Briarcliff, Midway Rd, North Edgewood. Maintaining a lift station inventory that is energy efficient is a City of Appleton objective. 6.4 Future Energy Related Equipment 6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations? Future lift station pump and motor upgrades will replace less efficient equipment with more energy efficient pumps and motors. 7. Treatment Facility

7.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2024

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/5/2025 2024

TREATMENT PLANT: Total Power Consumed/Month

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/ Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/ Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	822,400	383.79	2,143	923.43	891	2,976
February	848,000	382.23	2,219	795.35	1,066	2,588
March	844,800	405.13	2,085	933.66	905	3,420
April	849,302	562.61	1,510	997.08	852	2,451
May	867,200	483.18	1,795	1,073.93	808	4,199
June	870,400	550.30	1,582	1,250.64	696	3,132
July	940,800	639.91	1,470	755.16	1,246	3,289
August	873,600	420.77	2,076	877.30	996	5,099
September	835,200	299.83	2,786	800.19	1,044	2,755
October	860,800	273.30	3,150	990.36	869	1,354
November	809,600	490.10	1,652	1,107.69	731	1,662
December	801,574	353.60	2,267	813.94	985	10,869
Total	10,223,676	5,244.75		11,318.73		43,794
Average	851,973	437.06	2,061	943.23	924	3,650

7.1.2 Comments:

None

7.2 Energy R	Related	Processes	and I	Equipment
--------------	---------	-----------	-------	-----------

7.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 7.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your treatment facility (Check all that apply):
☐ Aerobic Digestion
□ Anaerobic Digestion
☐ Biological Phosphorus Removal
☐ Coarse Bubble Diffusers
☐ Dissolved O2 Monitoring and Aeration Control
□ Effluent Pumping
☐ Fine Bubble Diffusers
☐ Influent Pumping

☐ UV Disinfection

☑ Variable Speed Drives

☐ Other:

7	7	2	Comments:	
,	_	_	COMMENTS	

Effluent pumping is an as-needed process dependent on WWTP inflow and river levels.

7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment

7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility?

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Equipment replacement with energy efficient pumps and motors as well optimization of process controls. Biogas boiler heating system optimization to increase biogas utilization and heating system efficiency.			
8. Biogas Generation			
 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? No Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): 			
☑ Flared Off☑ Building Heat☑ Process Heat			
☐ Generate Electricity ☐ Other:			
9. Energy Efficiency Study 9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility? ○ No ● Yes ☑ Entire facility Year: 2004 By Whom: Joe Cantwell - Focus on Energy			
Describe and Comment: Every project has an energy component. The City reviews projects by completing a conditions assessment followed by a review of alternatives. The City chooses the alternative with the least overall project cost (operating and capital). A number of projects resulted in decreased energy usage. As part of the plant electrical distribution project, two buildings currently heated by electricity will be converted to hot water heating.			
Part of the facility Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment:			

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2024

6/5/2025

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/5/2025 2024

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

,
1. Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program 1.1 Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?
• Yes
o No
If No, explain:
1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items
according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)?
• Yes
o No (30 points)
O N/A
If No or N/A, explain:
1.2. D
1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the components and items that apply) ☑ Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]
Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:
Major Goals: Reconstruction is performed based on existing condition and expected useful life of sanitary sewer infrastructure. Budget constraints limit the amount of sewer infrastructure that can be replaced annually to an amount less than which meets our reconstruction criteria. In 2024, \$1,573,354 was budgeted for sewer reconstruction and \$1,048,346 was budgeted for
Specific 2024 goals included System cleaning: 8.79%; televising and root control: 8.79%; Operational capacity to provide trouble call responses (51 actual) and remove system blockages (1 actual); General reduction in I/I through clear water inspection program (actual cross connections identified: 0). Administer contracted Spot Repair and Protruding Tap Repair programs.
Did you accomplish them? O Yes No
If No, explain:
2024 goals were accomplished except for the contracted 2024 Spot Repair and Protruding Taps programs. Planned 2024 work was delayed into 2025 based on staff capacity issues related to vacancies and turnover in DPW Engineering.
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)] $\Box\Box$
Does this chapter of your CMOM include: ☑ Organizational chart and position descriptions)
☑ Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities
oxtimes Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public
□ Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]
What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system? Sewer Use Ordinance
If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and revised? (MM/DD/YYYY) 2020-11-03
Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following: Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following:
New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installation, testing and inspection

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

☐ Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection	
☐ Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored and controlled, as necessary	
☐ Fat, oil and grease control	
☐ Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]	
Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include the following: ☑ Equipment and replacement part inventories	
☑ Up-to-date sewer system map	
☑A management system (computer database and/or file system) for collection system information for O&M activities, investigation and rehabilitation	
□ A description of routine operation and maintenance activities (see question 2 below)	
☐ Capacity assessment program	
☐ Basement back assessment and correction	
☐ Regular O&M training ☐ Design and Performance Provisions [NR 310 33 (4) (e)]☐☐	
\boxtimes Design and Performance Provisions [NR 210.23 (4) (e)] $\square\square$ What standards and procedures are established for the design, construction, and inspection of	
the sewer collection system, including building sewers and interceptor sewers on private	
property?	
☐ State Plumbing Code, DNR NR 110 Standards and/or local Municipal Code Requirements	
☑ Construction, Inspection, and Testing	0
Others:	_
☑ Overflow Emergency Response Plan [NR 210.23 (4) (f)]□□	
Does your emergency response capability include:	
☐ Responsible personnel communication procedures	
☐ Response order, timing and clean-up	
□ Public notification protocols	
⊠ Training	
□ Emergency operation protocols and implementation procedures	
□ Annual Self-Auditing of your CMOM Program [NR 210.23 (5)] □	
☐ Special Studies Last Year (check only those that apply):	
☐ Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis	
☐ Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES)	
☐ Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Managment Plan (SECAP)	
☐ Lift Station Evaluation Report	
☐ Others:	
2. Operation and Maintenance	_
2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program include the following	
maintenance activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the amount maintained.	
Cleaning 8.79 % of system/year	
Root removal 0.00 % of system/year	
Flow monitoring 0.00 % of system/year	
Smoke testing 0.00 % of system/year	
Sewer line	
televising 8.79 % of system/year	
Manhole	
inspections	

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2024

6/5/2025

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

6/5/2025 2024 10.90 % of system/year # per L.S./year Lift station O&M 12 Manhole % of manholes rehabbed .21 rehabilitation Mainline % of sewer lines rehabbed rehabilitation .20 Private sewer

Last Updated: Reporting For:

inspections .86 % of system/year

Private sewer I/I

removal .31 % of private services

crossings 0.00 % of pipe crossings evaluated or maintained

Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection system below:

None

River or water

3. Performance Indicators

3.1 Provide the following collection system and flow information for the past year.

37.00 Total actual amount of precipitation last year in inches

32.00	Annual average precipitation (for your location)
334.20	Miles of sanitary sewer
14	Number of lift stations
0	Number of lift station failures
0	Number of sewer pipe failures
4	Number of basement backup occurrences
51	Number of complaints
14.30	Average daily flow in MGD (if available)
20.60	Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available)

3.2 Performance ratios for the past year:

. <u>z i errormance racio</u>	s for the past year.
0.00	Lift station failures (failures/year)
0.00	Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr)
0.01	Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr)
0.01	Basement backups (number/sewer mile)
0.15	Complaints (number/sewer mile)
1.4	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly:Annual Daily Avg)
7.5	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly:Annual Daily Avg)

106.60 Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available)

4. Overflows

LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OVERFLOWS REPORTED **										
	Date	Location	Cause	Estimated Volume						
	7/13/2024 7:00:00 PM - 7/13/2024 8:00:00 PM		Rain, Plugged Sewer, Flooding	5,000						

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/5/2025 **2024**

1 1 ' '	955 East John Street Appleton, WI 54915	Rain, Flooding	24,000
7/5/2024 2:00:00 PM			

** If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNR and stop work on this section until corrected.

What actions were taken, or are underway, to reduce or eliminate SSO or TFO occurences in the future?

DPW has undertaken a drainage study to identify potential solutions to reduce flooding in two large drainage areas within the City, Northland and Bellaire, which experienced some of the most severe flooding in the City in July 2024. Reduction of flooding, pending implementation of drainage system improvements, is expected to result in I&I decreases within the affected areas. Bolt-on lid castings were installed on MH's 9-11, -12, -147)

- 5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I)
- 5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last year?
- Yes
- o No

If Yes, please describe:

Rainfall in the month June was nearly double the historic average June rainfall (7.01" vs 4.01"). That resulted in high antecedent moisture conditions when extremely high rainfall events occurred on July 5 (2.5" in 1 hour, based on unofficial rain gauge data) and July 13 (2.16" in 1 hour) within portions of the City. The extreme rainfall and resulting flooding produced high I&I levels.

- 5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problems in your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year?
- Yes
- o No

If Yes, please describe:

Yes, the reported basement backups and complaints were related to high I&I levels resulting from the 7/5/2025 and 7/13/2025 extreme rainfall events.

5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous years:

Extreme July rainfall events referenced in 5.1 and 5.2 resulted in increased I&I events than in previous years.

5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?

The following activities are being performed to address inflow/infiltration:

- a. 685 manhole Inspections
- b. 13 manholes rehabilitated
- c. 27.94 miles of sanitary mains televised
- d. 0.69 miles of sewer pipe rehabilitated
- e. 42 sanitary manhole seals installed
- f. 91 laterals replaced
- g. 0 clearwater cross-connection violations were found or corrected resulting from basement inspections in conjunction with plumbing inspections or water meter maintenance.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/5/2025

2024

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0023221

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS								
Influent	A	4	3	12								
BOD/CBOD	A	4	10	40								
TSS	A	4	5	20								
Ammonia	A	4	5	20								
Phosphorus	A	4	3	12								
Biosolids	A	4	5	20								
Staffing/PM	A	4	1	4								
OpCert	Α	4	1	4								
Financial	Α	4	1	4								
Collection	A	4	3	12								
TOTALS 37 148												
GRADE POINT AVEI	RAGE (GPA) = 4.00		GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 4.00									

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/5/2025

2024

R	eso	lution	or ()wner	's S	Statement
---	-----	--------	------	-------	------	-----------

Name of Governing
Body or Owner:
Date of Resolution or
Action Taken:
Resolution Number:
Nessiation Number:
Date of Submittal:
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAR
SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F): Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A
Initiality flow and Esdainigs. Grade = 77
Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = A
Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A
Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade = A
Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = A
Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = A
biosolius Quality and Management. Grade – A
Staffing: Grade = A
Operator Certification: Grade = A
Financial Management: Grade = A
Collection Systems: Grade = A
(Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported)
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL
GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS
(Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00)
G.P.A. = 4.00



Department of Utilities

Wastewater Treatment Plant 2006 East Newberry Street Appleton, WI 54915 p: 920-832-5945 f: 920-832-5949

www.appleton.org/government/utilities

MEMORANDUM

Date: June 6, 2025

To: Chairperson Vered Meltzer and Members of the Utilities Committee

From: Chris Stempa, Director of Utilities

CC: Ryan Rice, Deputy Director of Utilities

Subject: Information: Pending Letter of Intent with Renewable Natural Gas (RNG)

Company

PURPOSE AND BACKGROUND:

This memorandum is to inform the Utilities Committee of a pending Letter of Intent (LOI) between the City of Appleton and a renewable energy company that has expressed interest in purchasing biogas generated at the Appleton Wastewater Treatment Plant for use in a Renewable Natural Gas (RNG) production facility.

The Appleton Wastewater Treatment Plant produces up to 700,000 cubic feet per day of methane gas or biogas as a byproduct of anaerobic digestion. Bacteria inside the anerobic digesters naturally break down organic waste and produce raw methane (CH4), carbon dioxide (CO2) and other gases. Historically, this biogas has been used for on-site heat through the use of direct feed boilers with the balance of the unused biogas sent to flares. Raw methane is between 55% and 70% pure, which is not acceptable for commercial use. RNG facilities can effectively treat, or "upgrade," biogas to remove contaminants. After RNG is upgraded, it can then be injected and transported through natural gas pipeline networks and used as a substitute that is virtually indistinguishable from natural gas. The difference is RNG is produced from biological materials instead of fossil fuel deposits.

With the growth of the RNG market, companies are increasingly interested in upgrading raw biogas into pipeline-quality RNG that can be sold into energy markets or used for transportation fuel under programs such as the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) or Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS).

PROPOSED ENGAGEMENT:

A company has approached the Department of Utilities with a proposal to explore a long-term biogas purchase agreement. As a first step, both parties are working to finalize a non-binding Letter of Intent that outlines shared goals, expectations, and the intent to proceed with feasibility assessments and potential project development. It is noteworthy that the interested party will be required to conduct an extensive preliminary engineering evaluation with a scope that includes but is not limited to funding, design, construction, operation, and maintenance of a new facility that will collect, dehydrate and deliver the biogas produced at the AWWTP to an offsite RNG facility for further refinement.

Key LOI components include:

- General framework for further due diligence, technical studies, and financial modeling.
- Non-binding terms regarding potential future revenue sharing.
- Timeline of the definitive agreement, good faith negotiation terms, and exclusivity period pending City review and approval

NEXT STEPS:

Once the LOI is finalized, City staff will advance detailed discussions with the RNG company to evaluate feasibility, financial impact, regulatory requirements, and operational considerations. Staff will return to the Utilities Committee with findings and a formal recommendation before any binding agreements are made. It should be emphasized that the preliminary engineering evaluation could identify factors which would prohibit a future project or formal purchasing agreement from occurring. Regardless, the Utilities Committee will be kept informed.

CONCLUSION:

The potential partnership represents an opportunity to convert a renewable waste stream into a valuable, low-carbon energy resource while generating revenue and supporting environmental goals. This venture would also allow the Utilities Department to defer or eliminate near future costly capital upgrades to existing biogas utilization infrastructure and equipment which has reached its useful life. We will continue to keep the Committee informed as discussions progress.

If you have any questions regarding the potential partnership, please contact Chris Stempa at ph: 832-5945.

WATER MAIN BREAK - FEBRUARY 2025

YEARLY WATER MAIN BREAK COMPARISON

MONTH 24	MONTH 25	YTD 24	<u>YTD 25</u>
7	13	14	22

LOCATION	BREAK DATE	WORK ORDER	TYPE OF PIPE	SIZE	YEAR	BREAK	ESTIMATED DURATION	ESTIMATED WATER LOSS IN GALLONS	DOLLAR VALUE OF WATER REVENUE LOSS**	TOTAL DOLLAR VALUE FOR BREAK* (Water Costs + Repair Costs)
1007 E. Grant St.	2/1/2025	309269	CIP	8"	1956	1/4" Crack	6 Hours	364,672	\$2,217.21	\$11,217.21
NOTES: The break was fo	und due to v	vater bubb	ling. The dura	ation was cald	culated by the	e soil saturation.				
W. Reid Dr. & Reid Ct.	2/6/2025	200260	CIP	6"	1928	6" Hole	6 Hours	2 400 024	£42 202 22	# 22.202.22
NOTES: The break was fo							6 Hours	2,188,031	\$13,303.23	\$22,303.23
The break was to	una aue to v	vater bubb	ling. The dura	ation was care	culated by the	e son saturation.				
1003 S. Walden Ave.	2/9/2025	309269	CIP thin walled	6"	1949	1/4"	8 Hours	364,672	\$2,217.21	\$11,217.21
NOTES: The break was fo	und due to a	a call in by	a resident be	cause of wate	er bubbling. ⁻	The duration was c	alculated by the	time of call and	amount of ice or	n road.
N. Kenilworth Ave. & E. Randall Ave.	2/14/2025		CIP	6"	1948	1/4" Crack	3 Hours	102,336	\$622.20	\$9,622.20
NOTES: The break was fo	und due to a	a call in by	a resident. Th	ne duration w	as calculated	d by the time of the	call and the ice	on the road.		
						•				
1731 N. Richmond St.	2/14/2025	309269	CIP	6"	1950	3/16" Crack	5 Hours	163,960	\$996.88	\$9,996.88
NOTES: The break was fo	und due to a	a call in by	a resident. Th	ne duration w	as calculated	d by the time of the	call and the am	nount of water bul	obling.	

^{**}Water Loss is calculated at the residential rate of \$6.08 per 1000 gallons.

LOCATION	BREAK DATE	WORK ORDER	TYPE OF PIPE	SIZE	YEAR	BREAK	ESTIMATED DURATION	ESTIMATED WATER LOSS IN GALLONS	DOLLAR VALUE OF WATER REVENUE LOSS**	TOTAL DOLLAR VALUE FOR BREAK* (Water Costs + Repair Costs)
437 E. Longview Dr.	2/16/2025	309269	CIP	8"	1961	1/8" Crack	5 Hours	145,742	\$886.11	\$9,886.11
NOTES: The break was fo			a resident. Th	ne duration w	as calculated	by the time of the	call and the am	ount of water but	obling.	
617 N. Cambridge Dr.	2/16/2025	309269	CIP	8"	1967	1/8" Crack	5 Hours	179,786	\$1,093.10	\$10,093.10
NOTES: The break was fo	ound by an ei	mployee pl	lowing snow.	The duration	was calculat	ed by the time the t	oreak was seen	and the amount	of soil saturation	١.
E. Newberry St. west of Weimar Ct.	2/17/2025	309269	CIP	12"	1960	1/16" Crack	8 Hours	209,488	\$1,273.69	\$10,273.69
NOTES: The break was fo	ound due to a	a call abou	t water on the	road. The du	uration was c	alculated by the tim	ne of the call an	d the soil saturati	ion.	
N. Rankin St. & E. Pacific St.	2/17/2025	309269	CIP	8"	1930	5" Hole	8 Hours	1,569,298	\$9,541.33	\$18,541.33
NOTES: The break was fo	ound due to v	vater bubb	ling. The dura	ation was cald	culated by the	e soil saturation.				
W. Lorain St. & N. Mason St.	2/24/2025	309269	CIP	8"	1968	1/4" Crack	5 Hours	303,893	\$1,847.67	\$10,847.67
NOTES: The break was fo	ound due to a	a call in by	a resident. Th	ne duration w	as calculated	d by the amount of	water bubbling	out of the road.		
E. Pauline St. & N. Helen St.	2/26/2025	309269	CIP	6"	1958	1/32"	48 Days	8,021,454	\$48,770.44	\$57,770.44
NOTES: The break was fo	ound while te	sting hydra	ants. The dura	ation was cald	culated base	d on the last date th	ne hydrant was	tested.		
Pierce Park	2/27/2025		CIP	12"	1963	14" Hole	5 Hours	10,316,626	\$62,725.09	\$71,725.09
NOTES: The break was for from the plant un			•	after being no	otified of drop	pping pressure by the	ne water plant.	The duration was	calculated by th	e time of the call

^{**}Water Loss is calculated at the residential rate of \$6.08 per 1000 gallons.

LOCATION	BREAK DATE	WORK ORDER	TYPE OF PIPE	SIZE	YEAR	BREAK	ESTIMATED DURATION	ESTIMATED WATER LOSS IN GALLONS	DOLLAR VALUE OF WATER REVENUE LOSS**	TOTAL DOLLAR VALUE FOR BREAK* (Water Costs + Repair Costs)
2013 N. Horizon Dr.	2/28/2025	309269	DIP	8"	1979	Two 3" Holes	4 Hours	757,956	\$4,608.37	\$13,608.37

NOTES:

The break was found due to water bubbling. The duration was calculated by the soil saturation.

Total Cost = \$267,102.52

^{*}In addition to the dollar value of water revenue lost, there is an average cost of \$9,000 to repair each water main break (including final restoration) and an average cost of \$630 to produce the lost water for each main break.

WATER MAIN BREAK REPORT - MARCH 2025

YEARLY WATER MAIN BREAK COMPARISON

MONTH 24	MONTH 25	<u>YTD 24</u>	<u>YTD 25</u>
3	10	17	32

LOCATION	BREAK DATE	WORK ORDER	TYPE OF PIPE	SIZE	YEAR	BREAK	ESTIMATED DURATION	ESTIMATED WATER LOSS IN GALLONS	DOLLAR VALUE OF WATER REVENUE LOSS**	TOTAL DOLLAR VALUE FOR BREAK* (Water Costs + Repair Costs)
1601 E. Sylvan Ave.	3/6/2025	309269	DIP	12"	1980	5" Hole	6 Hours	1,519,466	\$9,238.35	\$18,238.35
NOTES: The break was fo	ound because	of water b	oubbling. The	duration was	calculated b	y the soil saturation	n.			
1626 W. Pershing St.	3/7/2025	309269	CIP	8"	1966	2" Crack	6 Hours	2,917,375	\$17,737.64	\$26,737.64
NOTES: The break was fo	ound due to a	call in by t	the waste wat	ter plant. The	duration was	s calculated by the	time of the call	from the lift statio	n.	
2207 N. Meade Pl.	3/8/2025	309269	CIP	6"	1952	1/8" Crack	6 Hours	131,168	\$797.50	\$9,797.50
NOTES: The break was fo	und because	of water b	oubbling. The	duration was	calculated b	y the soil saturation	n.			
2213 N. Summit St.	3/12/2025	250167	CIP	6"	1960	1/4" Hole	70 Days	1,063,626	\$6,466.85	\$15,466.85
NOTES: The break was fo	ound due to a	call from a	a resident abo	out a frost hea	ave. The dura	ation was calculate	d by the soil sat	turation and rotted	d bolts on the m	ain.
908 N. Lawe St.	3/13/2025	250167	CIP	8"	1913	1/8" Crack	24 Hours	729,344	\$4,434.41	\$13,434.41
NOTES: The break was fo	ound due to w	ater surfac	cing on the ro	ad. The durat	tion was calc	ulated as water wa	s running unde	r the road into ma	inhole and even	tually surfaced.

^{**}Water Loss is calculated at the residential rate of \$6.08 per 1000 gallons.

LOCATION	BREAK DATE	WORK ORDER	TYPE OF PIPE	SIZE	YEAR	BREAK	ESTIMATED DURATION	ESTIMATED WATER LOSS IN GALLONS	DOLLAR VALUE OF WATER REVENUE LOSS**	TOTAL DOLLAR VALUE FOR BREAK* (Water Costs + Repair Costs)
N. Gillett St. & W. Lindebrgh St.	3/14/2025	250167	CIP	8"	1960	6" Hole	4 Hours	1,458,687	\$8,868.82	\$17,868.82
NOTES: The break was found due to water bubbling. The duration was calculated by the soil saturation.										
1401 W. Homestead Dr.	3/19/2025	250167	DIP	8"	1978	3" Split	60 Days	1,331,746	\$8,097.02	\$17,097.02
NOTES: The break was found while testing hydrants. The duration was calculated based on the last time the hydrant had been tested.										
1401 W. Homestead Dr.	3/20/2025	250167	DIP	8"	1978	2" Crack and 12" Split	1 Hour	355,132	\$2,159.20	\$11,159.20
NOTES: The break was found due to call from the water plant about Ridgeview Tower losing pressure. Duration was calculated by the time of the call until it was shut down.										
W. Taylor St. & N. Gillett St.	3/20/2025	250167	CIP	8"	1954	1/16" Crack	30 Days	10,940,155	\$66,516.14	\$75,516.14
NOTES: The break was found while correlating. The duration was calculated by the water that was surfacing, the saturated soil and wash out.										
1227 Montclaire Ct.	3/31/2025	250167	CIP	8"	1964	1/2" Crack	5 Hours	665,797	\$4,048.05	\$13,048.05
The break was fo	ound due to a	call in by a	a resident. Th	e duration wa	as calculated	by the time of the	call and the am	ount of water bub	bling.	
									Total Cost =	\$218,363.98

^{*}In addition to the dollar value of water revenue lost, there is an average cost of \$9,000 to repair each water main break (including final restoration) and an average cost of \$630 to produce the lost water for each main break.

^{**}Water Loss is calculated at the residential rate of \$6.08 per 1000 gallons.

WATER MAIN BREAK REPORT - APRIL 2025

YEARLY WATER MAIN BREAK COMPARISON

MONTH 24	MONTH 25	YTD 24	<u>YTD 25</u>
6	5	23	37

LOCATION	BREAK DATE	WORK ORDER	TYPE OF PIPE	SIZE	YEAR	BREAK	ESTIMATED DURATION	ESTIMATED WATER LOSS IN GALLONS	DOLLAR VALUE OF WATER REVENUE LOSS**	TOTAL DOLLAR VALUE FOR BREAK* (Water Costs + Repair Costs)
1509 S. Irma St.	4/1/2025	250167	DIP	8"	1977	1/32" Crack	55 Days	10,028,475	\$60,973.13	\$69,973.13
NOTES: The break was found due to a call in by a resident. The duration was calculated by going back to the last time the hydrant was tested as the soil was very saturated.										
1401 W. Homestead Dr.	4/1/2025	250167	DIP	8"	1978	6" Hole	2 Hours	743,788	\$4,522.23	\$13,522.23
NOTES: The break was found due to a call in by a resident. The duration was calculated by the time of the call until we shut it down.										
1401 W. Homestead Dr.	4/1/2025	250167	DIP	8"	1978	4" Hole	3 Hours	495,858	\$3,014.82	\$12,014.82
NOTES: The break was found due to a call in by a resident. The duration was calculated by the time of the call and the amount of water bubbling.										
847 E. Windfield Pl.	4/17/2025	250167	DIP	8"	1975	1/16" Crack	93 Days	5,912,837	\$35,950.05	\$44,950.05
NOTES: The break was found by hearing noise on a hydrant. The duration was calculated by going back to the date the hydrant was last tested.										

^{**}Water Loss is calculated at the residential rate of \$6.08 per 1000 gallons.

LOCATION	BREAK DATE	WORK ORDER	TYPE OF PIPE	SIZE	YEAR	BREAK	ESTIMATED DURATION	ESTIMATED WATER LOSS IN GALLONS	DOLLAR VALUE OF WATER REVENUE LOSS**	TOTAL DOLLAR VALUE FOR BREAK* (Water Costs + Repair Costs)
			2:5				30 Days and			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
1021 N. Oneida St.	4/30/2025	250167	CIP	12"	1939	8" Split	4 Hours	622,877	\$3,787.09	\$12,787.09

NOTES:

The break was found due to water on the road. The duration was calculated by the time of the call and the soil saturation.

Total Cost = \$153,247.32

^{*}In addition to the dollar value of water revenue lost, there is an average cost of \$9,000 to repair each water main break (including final restoration) and an average cost of \$630 to produce the lost water for each main break.