

## All Action Items

### Finance Committee

Mon, Jul 08, 2024 5:30PM

**Alderman Chris Croatt (District 14) 19:57**

All right, well go to our action items. First one is request to approve transportation project plot and relocation order for the Olde Oneida Street Bridge over the South Mill race project.

**Alderman Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 20:09**

Move to approve.

**Alderman Denise Fenton (District 6) 20:10**

Second.

**Alderman Chris Croatt (District 14) 20:12**

Okay, motion and a second to approve. Anything from staff. Go ahead, Alder Van Zeeland.

**Alderman Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 20:20**

Thank you, Chair. This hasn't come before a committee in a couple of years as far as the project. I was just hoping you could give us a high-level overview of what's happening here. It was hard to piece together. Thank you.

**Alderman Chris Croatt (District 14) 20:35**

District One should be on. Thank you.

**Deputy Director Pete Neuberger (Public Works) 20:38**

Alright, so overall, this is for a major rehabilitation of the Olde Oneida Street Bridge over the South Mill race. The work is planned to be done, and it's part of a 2025 construction project. The current estimate from the from the DOT, is \$2.7 million for this project, of which approximately 50% will be federally funded, and approximately 50% will be locally funded. So, this would be one of the necessary steps needed to be able to put the project out for bid. And it involves acquiring interests in terms of fee, simple permanent limited easements, and temporary limited easements for construction for a total—from a total of five parcel owners. And that's identified in the chart in the lower left-hand corner of the of the attachment. You can see the parcel owners, and then the square footage of the various interests that are being pursued.

**Alderman Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 20:40**

May I follow up?

**Deputy Director Pete Neuberger (Public Works) 20:58**

Sure, go ahead.

**Alderman Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 21:07**

So, the area that we're looking at, is that because of the widening of the bridge, or is that something that's happening down in that area?

**Deputy Director Pete Neuberger (Public Works) 21:57**

So, this would be for anything that's labeled as a fee or a or a PLE would be related directly related to the space occupied by the structure, and then anything that's TLE would be for the space that's required for the for the construction work.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 22:11**

Great, thank you.

**Aldersperson Chris Croatt (District 14) 22:14**

Okay, any other questions? That was, by the way (my printout didn't print the numbers), 24-0887 is the action item. Any other comments? Questions? Alder Schultz. Nine? Should be on.

**Aldersperson Alex Schultz (District 9) 22:27**

It's still red. Oh. It's red, but I can hear myself, so it's green. Yeah, just want to follow up with the plat and understanding the properties that are be affected. There's a—look at the parcel number four, number three, there's an active lock keepers house that might be affected. Is there any impact on the ability of those locations to function as they are when this construction happens? I can see that there's a—you're gonna acquire sort of ownership of the space in front of the lock keepers house and then across the street, there's a, I guess, garage is maybe a term or storage facility for that same property. Is there any adverse effect to Fox River company to operate the lock keep—the locks as the as it is right now? What's—I guess I'm trying to understand what happens when the project happens. What what's the effect on that operation?

**Deputy Director Pete Neuberger (Public Works) 23:30**

So, the—so just like other construction projects in the city that would take place along the frontage of an active business or residence, the special provisions for the project would need to make reasonable accommodations for those businesses to be able to remain reasonably operational. And if there were—if there were to be a material setback, then that would be something that would be identified as part of the damages calculation process through the through the acquisition through the acquisition that the DOT has to follow. Does that answer....?

**Aldersperson Alex Schultz (District 9) 24:08**

So, there could be a potential impact on that operation, depending on what has to happen in that space and the construction process.

**Deputy Director Pete Neuberger (Public Works) 24:15**

That would be—I don't have the specific details on what impacts could happen. That would be something that would need to be looked at as part of the calculation of the value of the of the acquisition.

**Aldersperson Alex Schultz (District 9) 24:31**

Any concerns from any of the entities to date with this scheduled acquisition?

**Deputy Director Pete Neuberger (Public Works) 24:38**

None that I'm aware of. No.

**Aldersperson Alex Schultz (District 9) 24:39**

Okay. Thank you.

**Alderman Chris Croatt (District 14)** 24:42

Any other questions, comments? Okay, do we have a motion and a second? Okay. Motion and a second to approve. All right, all those in favor signify by saying aye.

**Aldermen Van Zeeland and Fenton** 24:53

Aye.

**Alderman Chris Croatt (District 14)** 24:54

All opposed? Three, zero.

**Alderman Chris Croatt (District 14)** 24:57

Okay, moving on to 24-0900 request to approve audit agreement for payment of lands or interested in lands acquired from public utility needed for the city to be reimbursed by Wisconsin Department of Transportation for relocating watermain in conjunction with the 2025 Interstate 41 Ballard Road interchange reconstruction project.

**Alderman Denise Fenton (District 6)** 25:18

Move to approve.

**Alderman Katie Van Zeeland (District 5)** 25:19

Second.

**Alderman Chris Croatt (District 14)** 25:19

Motion and a second to approve. Anything from staff on this one? Go ahead.

**Deputy Director Pete Neuberger (Public Works)** 25:25

So, this would be in conjunction with the Ballard Road/41 interchange work scheduled for 2025 construction. And it would be the at the basically the southeast portion of the interchange. The city has a water main existing there right now, and the proposed design will result in inadequate cover over that water main in its current location. So, this would be 100% cost of the state to relocate the watermain to meet the city's requirements.

**Alderman Chris Croatt (District 14)** 26:00

Okay. And you said southeast corner?

**Deputy Director Pete Neuberger (Public Works)** 26:03

Southeast corner. Correct.

**Alderman Chris Croatt (District 14)** 26:04

This is the diverging diamond intersection that's going to be put in there, right?

**Deputy Director Pete Neuberger (Public Works)** 26:09

Correct.

**Alderman Chris Croatt (District 14)** 26:09

Okay. All right. Questions? Comments? Don't see any. All in favor of approving signify by saying aye.

**Aldermen Van Zeeland and Fenton** 26:17

Aye.

**Alderson Chris Croatt (District 14) 26:18**

All opposed? Three, zero.

**Alderson Chris Croatt (District 14) 26:21**

Okay. 24-0889 request to approve the following 2024 budget amendment, public works capital project fund, Public Safety Camera program \$110,904 fund balance applied \$110,904 to fund public safety cameras project costs, and there was an attachment. Who would like to take this one?

**Alderson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 26:47**

Should I move to approve?

**Alderson Chris Croatt (District 14) 26:49**

Sure. Okay. Motion and a second to approve. Anyone from staff going to? Yeah. Sorry. Okay. Director Popp, which one? Director—Director 2? I'd had that list here. You're should be on.

**Director Corey Popp (IT) 27:08**

Thank you. So just a little bit of history what's going on here with this this request for amendment. I arrived in November 2021 late that year. All the funds I think that were scheduled to be spent in '21 for the public safety cameras were spent either in that time or in remaining time of the year. In 2022, that network was identified to potentially have some problems and performance issues. So, the mayor and I asked that the spending on that public safety CIP be suspended except for emergency camera replacement, and then we work with public works to carry that amount forward into 2023. We did the same thing in 2023 while we tested cloud cameras for the system, but unfortunately, that carryover didn't happen from 2023 to 2024. So now we've finished all testing on these cameras; we're ready to move forward with purchases; we went to go get the money; the money wasn't there because it hadn't been carried over. So, we're looking for this amendment to bring the unspent amounts from 2022 and 2023 over to 2024.

**Alderson Chris Croatt (District 14) 28:17**

Okay, thank you. So just to clarify, these are more traffic camera hardware?

**Director Corey Popp (IT) 28:24**

Yeah, they're based—they are. They're public safety cameras. So, there's several different cameras that are in use in the city if you break them all down, from body cameras, which have nothing to do with this. There's the flock cameras. That's—

**Alderson Chris Croatt (District 14) 28:37**

Completely separate?

**Director Corey Popp (IT) 28:37**

Completely separate. Those are license plate readers. And that's handled primarily by the Appleton Police Department. These are public safety cameras. These watch intersection, traffic flow, pedestrians, anything that happens on the streets, that type of thing.

**Alderson Chris Croatt (District 14) 28:52**

Thank you. Because it said public safety I was just wanting to clarify because we all know what the Flock cameras and the discussion around funding more of those. So, any other questions, comments?

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 29:03**

I was gonna ask the same thing.

**Aldersperson Chris Croatt (District 14) 29:04**

Okay. We have a motion and a second to approve. All those in favor signify by saying aye.

**Alderspersons Van Zeeland and Fenton 29:10**

Aye.

**Aldersperson Chris Croatt (District 14) 29:11**

All opposed? Three, zero. Okay.

**Aldersperson Chris Croatt (District 14) 29:15**

Moving on to 24-0898 request to award the city of Appleton's 2024 Park pavilions roof replacement project contract to Schultz exteriors LLC in the amount of \$45,775 with a 15% contingency of \$7,000 for a project total not to exceed \$52,775. Is there a motion?

**Aldersperson Denise Fenton (District 6) 29:39**

Move to approve.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 29:40**

Second.

**Aldersperson Chris Croatt (District 14) 29:41**

Motion and a second to approve. Director Gazza? So, your director one. Anything you'd like to add or comment on this? There was a memo. There was the two bids.

**Director Dean Gazza (Parks, Recreation, and Facilities) 29:52**

Prices just came in extremely competitive and we were surprised by the pricing. So very happy.

**Aldersperson Chris Croatt (District 14) 29:58**

Okay. And anything on the—and it's \$7,000, but it's listed as 15%. Any particular reason why it's 15% versus something less?

**Director Dean Gazza (Parks, Recreation, and Facilities) 30:09**

One—yeah, one of the projects in Jones Park could be provide some issues. So, there are some—we don't know if any of the roof, we'll have to, the roofing we'll have to replace underneath the shingles.

**Aldersperson Chris Croatt (District 14) 30:23**

Substructure?

**Director Dean Gazza (Parks, Recreation, and Facilities) 30:24**

But if it's, yeah, the substructure's soft, we'd want them to replace it at that time.

**Aldersperson Chris Croatt (District 14) 30:27**

Okay, thank you. Any other questions, comments for Director Gazza? Alder Van Zeeland, go ahead.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 30:33**

Thank you, Chair. I just wanted to ask, since the Jones Park amenities are fairly new, was this a scheduled maintenance or was there damage that occurred?

**Director Dean Gazza (Parks, Recreation, and Facilities) 30:45**

No, this one is for the pavilion and the stage—or not the pavilion but the stage that's in the back side of the hill. And that one has been on there for quite some time. And that one is—yes, quite a few shingles are missing off it already.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 31:01**

Great. Thank you.

**Aldersperson Chris Croatt (District 14) 31:03**

Alder Schultz. Go ahead.

**Aldersperson Alex Schultz (District 9) 31:04**

Yep. Thank you, Chair. Can I direct—

**Director Dean Gazza (Parks, Recreation, and Facilities) 31:07**

Sure.

**Aldersperson Alex Schultz (District 9) 31:07**

—questions to Director Gazza? Yes, a similar question. Like what is a—what is our expected life expectancy for a roof? Because typically a residential is 15-20 years, and I feel like that Jones Park amphitheater was is younger than that. So, I guess I'm curious to know why it's scheduled for the replacement. And then do you have a date for when the last roof was put on the city park pavilion?

**Aldersperson Chris Croatt (District 14) 31:33**

I was gonna ask you about.

**Director Dean Gazza (Parks, Recreation, and Facilities) 31:33**

Yeah, I don't have it on me, but it's been some time. What we'll do is 25 years to answer your initial question. 25. You know, we'll try to get to 25 out of it. Damage, you know, is assessed every year through our roof surveyor. We have a roof audit or roof surveyor. We go through, around look at it. Sometimes the damage is, you know, could be caused by other things, but usually it's just because the roof is worn out. That amphitheater though when we're talking—not Jones Park, sorry about that, Appleton Memorial Park.

**Director Dean Gazza (Parks, Recreation, and Facilities) 32:10**

Yeah.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 32:11**

Yeah.

**Aldersperson Chris Croatt (District 14) 32:12**

I'm like—

**Aldersperson Alex Schultz (District 9) 32:12**

We were all a little confused

**Aldersperson Chris Croatt (District 14) 32:13**

—didn't Miron Just build that?

**Director Dean Gazza (Parks, Recreation, and Facilities) 32:15**

Yeah, I just I just I just caught that. I was thinking that thing was there for a long time. But no, that's Appleton Memorial Park.

**Aldersperson Alex Schultz (District 9) 32:21**

Okay. I think that threw us all. So.

**Aldersperson Chris Croatt (District 14) 32:24**

Yeah. I had to look at the memo again just to make sure I was hearing that. So, thanks for the clarification, Director Gazza.

**Director Dean Gazza (Parks, Recreation, and Facilities) 32:32**

Yeah. Thanks for catching that.

**Aldersperson Chris Croatt (District 14) 32:35**

Any other questions, comments? All right, we will vote on 24-0898. All those in favor signify by saying aye.

**Alderspersons Van Zeeland and Fenton 32:45**

Aye.

**Aldersperson Chris Croatt (District 14) 32:46**

All opposed? Three Zero. That will be a recommendation to approve.