

**Item 23-1251: Resolution #11-R-23 Overnight Parking**  
**Municipal Services Committee**  
Mon, Mar 11, 2024 4:30PM

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 36:48**

That brings us to our last action item 23-1251 Resolution 11-R-23 overnight parking. Do we have a motion?

**Aldersperson William Siebers (District 1) 37:02**

Move for approval.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 37:06**

I will second for only discussion. Alder Siebers, since this is your resolution, did you want to go ahead and get us started?

**Aldersperson William Siebers (District 1) 37:15**

I will. I guess the reason why I submitted the resolution (not only in regards that there's an issue in regards to the central districts of our city in regards to parking) I just felt that that we needed to have some kind of discussion, and we're obviously going to have that today. You know, I—we've gotten to the point where in the older districts, we have more cars than we have parking spaces. And my situation as an aldersperson is I deal with people who call and say, "I'm sick and tired of cars parked on my street overnight, and nothing is being done. I call the police department and they don't do anything." And then all of a sudden, a couple weeks later, "What am I supposed to do? I have no place to park my car and now I'm getting tickets?" You know. I think one of the problems that that I see—and I don't blame anybody. I don't blame our police department. I'd rather have them deal with safety issues in regards to whatever is happening in Appleton, but inconsistency in regards to enforcement. So, you know, I submitted this resolution. It's over—allowing overnight parking is is—we're not the only city that would be doing that.

**Aldersperson William Siebers (District 1) 39:01**

I'd like to make a comment in regards to the survey that DPW staff did. I find it interesting that they mentioned cities in in the Fox Valley, whereas except for Eau Claire and Green Bay, I looked at cities of ours—comparable to our size. I looked at the top 10 cities in population in the state of Wisconsin. Now obviously, Milwaukee and Madison is much larger than Appleton, but both allow overnight parking. Green Bay doesn't. Kenosha does. Racine does. Appleton doesn't. Waukesha does. Eau Claire does. Janesville does. Oshkosh doesn't. And La Crosse does. I also checked with Wauwatosa, and I find this interesting; they work on a neighborhood-by-neighborhood base. So, I find that very interesting. I think they have an ordinance that that doesn't allow it, but on a neighborhood-by-neighborhood base, it does allow it. So anyways. I just, I, you know—the cities our size do allow overnight parking. And I don't know how they do it based on our concerns that we have or that the memo pointed out.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 40:46**

Anything else?

**Aldersperson William Siebers (District 1) 40:48**

For now, that's it.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 40:50**

I—yeah, I did look into this a little bit, and in it—I think what I'm seeing here is in alignment with the staff memo, which is places who have allowed that parking for a long time weren't necessarily including that in their planning for stormwater permitting, which is what I see here as the biggest issue. Director Block, could you talk a little bit about the issues with permitting? I didn't see this coming. So, if you could just explain a little bit. You're Director One?

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 41:20**

Yeah.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 41:21**

There you go.

**Director Danielle Block (Department Of Public Works) 41:21**

Thank you, Chair. Since the last meeting, engineering staff had an opportunity to meet with our stormwater management consultant, Brown and Caldwell, to talk through the impact of street sweeping on our MS4 permit through the DNR—so stormwater practices. And current street sweeping practices provide a tremendous amount of credit into our total suspended solids removal and total phosphorus annually. So that's a major role in removing sediment and is very important to obtaining that permit.

**Director Danielle Block (Department Of Public Works) 42:01**

There's also a rule by the DNR, no backsliding, if you will, in terms of removing restrictions on parking. We cannot go backwards in our progress being made towards stormwater management practices, and that would have to be fulfilled in a different way. So, for example, you know, a pond doesn't, you know, come near removing the same amount. So that's a major infrastructure investment, a major capital project. So, we flagged that as a very big concern from DPW's standpoint on removing parking restrictions holding.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 42:44**

So, the backsliding situation for a municipality that already has this, they don't have that backslide because they already have that parking. We have things in place. If we make changes to that that goes against DNR recommendations.

**Director Danielle Block (Department Of Public Works) 43:00**

So, I can speak to it from our standpoint and our permit, whether or not a different community had it when they initially applied and then changed it or if they just never had restrictions in where they started. So.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 43:13**

Okay, thank you. Alder Wolff.

**Aldersperson Nate Wolff (District 12) 43:20**

Thank you, Chair. I was about to ask a question for the police chief, but I see she left. But I'm still gonna ask the question so that it's on record and that is, what if we—would it would it help if we increased the number of nights that you can park overnight? Something that we haven't really talked about in this whole discussion is you can call in and you can say "My car's parts here for the night." But that's there's only about like 18 days a year, I think. And then my—so I'm curious to see if parking—if we can increase that number basically.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 43:57**

Let me ask Attorney Behrens if he has the rules that we have in place. Do we have something in writing about 18 days or something in that regard?

**Someone Whispering 44:08**

It's on the website.

**Attorney Christopher Behrens 44:12**

I can't answer specifically because I'm not certain whether it is a police department policy. I don't believe we have a specific ordinance to that effect. I think it's more of a police department policy.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 44:28**

Okay.

**Attorney Christopher Behrens 44:28**

But again, that's my best recollection.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 44:31**

It looks like Director Block has some answers for us.

**Attorney Christopher Behrens 44:35**

I defer to Director Block.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 44:36**

Thank you.

**Director Danielle Block (Department Of Public Works) 44:37**

Thank you. Seven days per month by policy. Correct—no ordinance—

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 44:42**

Okay.

**Director Danielle Block (Department Of Public Works) 44:43**

—administered through APD not DPW.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 44:47**

Does that answer your question, or do you have any further questions?

**Aldersperson Nate Wolff (District 12) 44:53**

Well, I mean, overall, it's just a question of whether or not we think that that would be helpful to people who, like, need the space to park in the street in like the summer or—this is a conversation starter.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 45:08**

If I could just ask Director Block to follow up on this; are you aware of any concerns—would that technically fall under backsliding? Because it is a change of—it's not a change of regulation—well, I suppose a policy is a regulation. What do you think?

**Director Danielle Block (Department Of Public Works) 45:27**

It's difficult for me to say. I think the chief or APD could weigh in on and I can follow up on this. Do we do we deny folks any approval because they are over the seven days? That might help answer the question of, if more than seven would add value? I don't have those records in front of me on, you know, somebody has reached their limit of seven and having more than seven would have helped them.

**Alderspersion Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 45:53**

Thank you. So that's something that we can we can set aside for Chief. Alder Meltzer.

**Alderspersion Vered Meltzer (District 2) 46:01**

Thank you. I just want to bring up there are two different sides of this as far as how it impacts our residents. There are the people who are saying, "I need to park my car." And to that, I think that we definitely do need to do more education about how do you call your car in, how frequently are you allowed to, and things like that. I think that's a resource that could certainly help out a lot of people in our community. But then there's people on the other side of it who are saying, "You know, every single night, the entire street is full from people who don't have enough room in their own driveways. So, I could never have my family come over for a sleepover or whatever." Like, I feel like there's, you know, like, like—there's that that other side of the complaints that like, supposedly there's this ordinance, my constituents have said, but we've never seen it enforced. So why do we say that you're not allowed to park overnight when all I see is people parking overnight all the time? So, I think that, you know, whatever we do has to address that concern, too, which is that as long as—as the status quo stands, there's a significant expectation in the community that we should be enforcing the overnight parking ordinance better.

**Alderspersion Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 47:26**

I think if we—because we have the ability to call in vehicles, there really is no way for neighbors to know if somebody has called in a vehicle to sit on the street, which I think is adding to the frustration. I don't have an answer for that, but I just think as you were talking that seems to make sense that it may not be an enforcement issue. It may be that those vehicles have been called in and they have the right to be on the street. I apologize Alder Schultz. I recognized you before and forgot about you. You can go ahead. What—microphone 12?

**Alderspersion Alex Schultz (District 9) 48:02**

Thank you, Chair. I wanted to recognize Alder Siebers' resolution and support moving some kind of program even if it's a pilot program to try and allow odd and even numbering overnight parking on the streets. I live in an historic district as some of us do, and we know the challenges. It used to be if you were a two-person household with a couple of teenagers, you still only had two cars. Now if you're a two-person household and you've got two teenagers, you got four cars to manage. And it can be incredibly challenging if you've got a small driveway that can only hold two vehicles. So, we struggle with that. I've certainly parked in the street without calling in because it just happens.

**Alderspersion Alex Schultz (District 9) 48:40**

But I am aware of when recycling and trash comes around on a given day of the week that I move my vehicle so that they can operate. And I think the notion that we want to be careful of the impact on street sweeping would require us to not explore this is maybe not the way to look at it. We know what the Street Sweepers routes are going, we know when they're going out and they don't—street sweeping does not happen through every neighborhood once a week. It might happen once a month, once every couple of months. I think if we knew where they were going, we could develop a program that says "Okay, every last weekend in the month or the last week of the month, street sweeping is happening. You can't park on the street, but every other time, even odd number works."

**Alderson Alex Schultz (District 9) 49:23**

I think there's some middle ground here, and we got to deal with it where you know, we'd like to move to a more pedestrian society but that's not where we are right now. There's a lot of vehicles, and just about every person in this community has a vehicle. And a lot of us are challenged to park if we're living in the old portions of Appleton. Now if you're living out in the suburbs, and you've got a six-car garage, you've got no issues, but that's not what we're trying to deal with. So, as has been stated, there's a lot of overnight parking and I suspect maybe 5% get called in if that. And it's certainly not getting enforced because I think our police have other things to concern themselves with given the last half an hour of conversation. The last thing they want to deal with is ticketing overnight vehicles.

**Alderson Alex Schultz (District 9) 50:09**

So, this is another one where maybe we need some more conversation. But I strongly support this notion of allowing at least one side, even and odd. And maybe it's not a permanent thing. Maybe we do it as we've done other things as a pilot program, and we see what it looks like after a year. But we also do give some serious consideration about the impact on the street sweeping program because I would not want it to impact our reduction of phosphorus and other loads into the Fox River, into our system. So that's a significant conversation I think we'd have to have before we make any movement on the proposal, but I would support this proposal. Thank you.

**Alderson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 50:47**

I think my concern is that it doesn't sound to me like there is wiggle room. It sounds to me like the policy with the stormwater permit is no backsliding, and this would qualify for backsliding. That's my concern. Alder Siebers.

**Alderson William Siebers (District 1) 51:03**

You hit it right on your head, alternate side parking, you know which—I mean, right now we've got we've got people parking on the street. The memo states—and I don't even know why they did this—but they talked about 792 parking citations between January 1 and March 4. And in saying that that's 365 overnight parking citations per month. Well, let's go a little bit further. That's 91 parking tickets per week. I decided one night, having nothing better to do, at four o'clock in the morning, decided to do a ride through my district. Over 100 cars parked on the street, and not a one had a ticket. Now granted, maybe some of them were called in, but I'm gonna guarantee you not over 100—not all 100 of them—were called them. So, I think, you know, the idea in terms of having all cars on one side one night, allowing a sweeper to go down the street and doing its work and then the next night, the other side. I'd like to know how often does the sweepers go out?

**Alderson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 52:26**

Deputy Director Loper.

**Deputy Director of Operations Nathan Loper (Public Works) 52:27**

Part of the stormwater permit also determines how often certain parts of the city are swept. So certain sections are swept every three weeks on average. Others are every six weeks. So, if a neighborhood or a street is tributary to a pond, we can get away with only sweeping it every six weeks. If there's no pond, that that street, stormwater storm sewer system drains into, then we need to sweep it every three weeks.

**Deputy Director of Operations Nathan Loper (Public Works) 52:58**

And you know the schedule—it would be complicated to get everybody—to tell the public—for us to even know exactly where the sweeper is going to be at any given time. We have four people that run those sweepers. Right now, we're short staffed. Well, that slows things down. Well, we promised we were going to be in your

neighborhood and you're not supposed to park there, but now we're behind. So, I feel like every week there'd be this constant communication, updating people. And right now we have one rule for people to follow; it's no parking two to five. And they're not following it as Alder Siebers pointed out. The more rules we have in place, I don't think the success rate goes up for people following them. So, I think that would really complicate things for...

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 53:43**

What happens when the sweeper goes out and there are a line of cars? Is that just—do they just skip that area?

**Deputy Director of Operations Nathan Loper (Public Works) 53:54**

Yeah, typically, yeah.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 53:57**

Okay.

**Deputy Director of Operations Nathan Loper (Public Works) 53:57**

We'll make note of it. We work with the police department. I would guess a lot of these 792 citations probably started with Public Works phone calls calling them in.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 54:06**

Thank you. Alder Siebers.

**Aldersperson William Siebers (District 1) 54:10**

Another thing. [Let's go?] wintertime, and this is common in in my district in terms of people parking on the street. The plows go around the cars essentially parked the—plow the snow—plow the car in. The car in the daytime is able to move out. Guess what, does the plow come back the next day or to plow that area? Nope. It stays there. And one year that we had a nice good snowstorm and that pile stayed there. We didn't have a snow storm after that. The pile stayed there until it melted. Isn't that really great in regards to, you know, our maintaining a well looking neighborhood?

**Aldersperson William Siebers (District 1) 55:02**

The other thing is I've gotten people now parking on their lawns in their front lawns. Isn't that great, you know? Really great, you know. So, you know, do nothing; the problem is going to continue. It's going to probably get worse because I can't see people, you know, not buying more cars. So, and, you know, cars are not going to be ticketed, because you just don't have the resources.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 55:29**

I think, you know, in bigger cities—when I lived in Milwaukee, if they went out to plow and your car was there, your car wasn't there when you came back out. You know, they have the staff to actually tow vehicles. They have—you know, they have parking attendants handling these, not our police department. But, you know, I don't know that we have the capability of enforcing that. And that's what concerns me besides the stormwater permit is, you know, the way that you would need to enforce it would be to tow people's vehicles. We don't have we don't have that. Maybe that's something that we can look further into is are these cities that Alder Siebers was looking at—like do they have a parking utility that is actually handling that beyond the police department? I saw Alder Meltzer first then...

**Alderson Vered Meltzer (District 2) 56:22**

Thank you, and I just—I don't fully understand the potential even/odd overnight parking option. So, is this option at odds with the street sweepers? Or is this option something that would keep our permit intact with the DNR?

**Director Danielle Block (Department Of Public Works) 56:49**

It is a possibility. So, on page one, it says it is possible to accommodate restricted overnight parking in the form of even/odd. It's a possibility. The concern lies in sufficient enforcement. And that would require more staffing, either through the parking utility, or through APD.

**Alderson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 57:14**

If I could just ask a question of Director Block of the as of the parking utility. Would enforcement provide the utility—can we determine what kind of funding might come in from excess enforcement? You know, if we're going to go out and we're going to do more enforcement, are we going to make back any money from those parking tickets? I—and I'm just thinking out loud here, trying to find a solution.

**Director Danielle Block (Department Of Public Works) 57:49**

In terms of funding the position—

**Director Danielle Block (Department Of Public Works) 57:52**

—for the parking? Difficult to say, to estimate how many people would be in violation. I think what we really want from the enforcement is the positive—the change in behavior, right? To have it on the appropriate side of the road so our sweepers can be most efficient, because we will, we will bank on the fact that folks are adhering to the restriction and we can efficiently sweep the curb line.

**Alderson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 57:52**

Correct.

**Alderson William Siebers (District 1) 58:18**

I can answer that question.

**Alderson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 58:20**

Hold on no—I—Alder Firkus has been waiting patiently. So, if we could have Alder Firkus first, and we'll come back to you, Alder Siebers.

**Alderson Brad Firkus (District 3) 58:30**

Thank you, chair. It seems like no matter which way you go with this, the problem is not enough resources, not enough people to enforce the rules we have today, not enough people to make up for the lack of efficiency that would come if we were to do alternate side parking. It seems like if we had, you know, more street sweepers, if we had more people out there plowing, you know, all those different functions—if there was more staff to do that and more equipment to accomplish that, then you could take the hit that you're going to lose in efficiency with alternate side parking. But since we're talking about the situation either being put money and resources towards one way or the other, I think long term, the better bet is to go towards the alternate side parking.

**Alderson Brad Firkus (District 3) 59:10**

I think trying to find the way to make that work in the long term is probably going to be more beneficial than just trying to figure out how can we write more tickets right now under our current system. I know that's going to



take a lot more work. It's probably a lot easier to find more the resources to hire more parking enforcement people. And maybe there's some other alternatives that we have to kind of look at.

**Alderson Brad Firkus (District 3) 59:33**

I know originally when Alder Siebers proposed this, it was proposed with the idea of having some sort of a— what was it? The permitting. And obviously that also requires an investment of resources to put something like that there but maybe that's an investment that then paves the way to generate some of the resources needed to make some of these changes. So, while I do think right now, with the what you have before you we probably can't move forward today. I think the resource in question has to be figured out first before we can really make a substantial change in policy. But we definitely do need it because if we do nothing, I mean, you're either going to have more complications on the streets or you're gonna have more people opting for off street parking, private property parking. That's not real good for generating the resources either that we need to fund operations. So even from a land use perspective, this is something that I think we do need to continue to work on and figure out. Thank you.

**Alderson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:00:32**

Thank you. Yeah. And I'm concerned about moving forward without any feedback from Chief Olson, Alder Siebers.

**Alderson William Siebers (District 1) 1:00:38**

In answer to your question, parking utility doesn't do enforcement, I believe, two to five. Police does. And I guarantee you, the police is really stressed tight in regards to personnel. I'd rather have them, you know, downtown or wherever they're needed in regards to public safety then then doing parking tickets. So, we're not—people aren't going to say—if we vote this down, people aren't going to say, "Okay, well, I'm not going to park on the street." They're going to continue to park, you know, and risk getting a ticket. And so, you know, in regards to the DNR situation, that problem is not going away. You know.

**Alderson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:01:21**

I think that Alder Firkus made a good point, which is I don't know that we have all of the information that we need to make a determination. So, while I see that there—people do want to continue this conversation, I'm not sure here is the—here and this exact moment is going to get us any further. We did have a motion to approve and a second. I withdraw my second. I guess we don't technically need to do that if someone did want a motion to hold this item.

**Alderson Vered Meltzer (District 2) 1:01:50**

I'll move to hold.

**Alderson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:01:52**

We have a motion to hold.

**Alderson Vered Meltzer (District 2) 1:01:54**

Does Director Block have a question?

**Alderson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:01:57**

Director Block.



**Director Danielle Block (Department Of Public Works) 1:01:59**

In regards to the hold and the timing of the hold, what further information would you like staff to bring in terms of—?

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:02:06**

I think I'd like to hear from Chief Olson. I think there were a number of questions that I wrote down here about how they handle things, their policies, how we would move forward. I think even we want to talk about maybe putting this in their department study. You know, they're looking at the study for, you know, staffing the police department. Maybe this is something we want to include. Alder Hartzheim.

**[It sounded like Attorney Behrens asked off microphone if there was a second.]**

**Aldersperson William Siebers (District 1) 1:02:36**

Second.

**Aldersperson Sheri Hartzheim (District 13) 1:02:38**

Thank you, Chair. I think a hold is probably an order as well, but my concern is maybe this would take the same pathway that the food truck thing takes. Maybe there's more that needs to be developed based on a number of people who have some input to give, and it might take something outside of this committee to be able to do that. That's just my concern, because this is a very large project that, as we can see, affects numerous departments and affects costs for taxpayers. It affects everything from soup to nuts. So, I think it's going to take a little bit more than just what questions can Director Block answer for us and bring forward.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:03:25**

Again, not having Chief Olson here doesn't make me feel confident in picking a timeframe in which we could reasonably make a decision.

**Aldersperson Sheri Hartzheim (District 13) 1:03:32**

Thank you.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:03:34**

Did—it's on the motion to hold. Okay.

**Aldersperson Alex Schultz (District 9) 1:03:38**

I would support the committee's motion to hold. I would maybe leave it somewhat open ended and not put too much of a time constraint on because I feel like there are, as has been said several times, some significant conversations. I don't have a problem holding it for a while, but let's keep the conversation moving.

**Aldersperson Alex Schultz (District 9) 1:03:54**

I would ask staff to look into—I mean, I have no idea what the street sweeping scheduling is and how complicated that is, but I'd like to have a conversation if there's a way to normalize that or any way to work that within what we're talking about. Can we—is there any space where we can have that conversation about scheduling and street sweeping along with even and—even an odd numbering and how that might work. And I think that's a big conversation.

**Aldersperson Alex Schultz (District 9) 1:04:20**

We got a huge problem. It's not going to go away. As we've discussed, we've got a lot of people parking on the street because they've got nowhere else to go. If they had other places to go, they would go there. So, we've got

to figure this out, and like the previous discussion, we've got a problem looking for a solution. So, let's take some time to figure out what that solution looks like or at least even a trial solution to see if there is some way to make this work. Doesn't all have to be figured out, but at least we could try something in our community which we've done successfully in the past.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:04:53**

I apologize, but I couldn't figure out if you had a direct question for Director Block.

**Aldersperson Alex Schultz (District 9) 1:04:58**

It was just a request that they look into parity of—

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:05:03**

Okay.

**Aldersperson Alex Schultz (District 9) 1:05:04**

—parking on—

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:05:05**

Just a request look into those things.

**Aldersperson Alex Schultz (District 9) 1:05:07**

Yes, how those things could work together because we have obviously a street sweeping program that feels like it's whenever we got the personnel on and they can get out. We don't even know what they're covering, the area they're covering, just...

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:05:17**

Understood. I just could—I just wanted to make sure I wasn't not getting the answer you needed. In looking at our calendar, I wonder, given the other options that we have, if this—if we want to make the window on this a little bit longer than next meeting. Alder Siebers, like, what's your feedback here?

**Aldersperson William Siebers (District 1) 1:05:36**

I'll go two meetings, but any further—I mean, this thing has been sitting on a shelf for—what?—two months?

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:05:45**

Well, I don't think that's fair. Staff was clearly working on it.

**Aldersperson William Siebers (District 1) 1:05:46**

I'm sorry. It's been sitting on a shelf for two months. I'm not blaming anybody. It's been sitting on the shelf for two months. That's a fact.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:05:56**

So, we have a motion. We already have a motion to hold so I just—I don't know what further discussion—you just—you have questions that you'd like staff to answer? Okay, I'm going to allow Alder Wolff, and then we'll come to you.

**Aldersperson Vered Meltzer (District 2) 1:06:08**

Thank you.

**Aldersperson Nate Wolff (District 12) 1:06:12**

Thank you, Chair. Just one question that I would have is we said that we were short sweeper drivers. So maybe we include how much it would actually cost to cover that so that we can look at overnight parking to add on to that, right? So, you could come back with a cost to us and be like, "This is how much we need in our budget to make this happen." Even if that's not necessarily something we can do, it is something that you could bring to us and be like, "Here you go." Thank you chair.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:06:42**

Alder Meltzer, thank you for your—

**Aldersperson Vered Meltzer (District 2) 1:06:44**

Director Block wanted to...

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:06:45**

Yes. Go ahead.

**Director Danielle Block (Department Of Public Works) 1:06:46**

Yeah. Just to clarify on the street sweeping budget, the budget funds are allotted. It's a shortage in staffing. So, the position would be actively, you know, being filled.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:06:57**

Is the street sweeper a CDL position? Yeah. Okay. Makes sense. Alder Meltzer.

**Aldersperson Vered Meltzer (District 2) 1:07:04**

Thank you. I have a similar question as Nate, but if—as we're holding this, for staffing, it says in the memo "with sufficient staffing, blah, blah." So, I'd like to know what exactly sufficient staffing would be. Would it be a full FTE? Would it be 0.75? Would it be 1.25? I'm really interested in that specific piece of information for coming back. I do have a feeling that looking at the even/odd alternate side option might actually give us some opportunity to explore. So, I also think that given other items that are going to be coming back to the next meeting, I support holding it for two meetings instead of just one. Thank you.

**Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:07:58**

Thank you, Alder Meltzer. Any other questions discussion on the motion to hold for not the next municipal services meeting but the meeting after? Hearing none, we'll vote. All those in favor say aye. Aye. Any opposed? That motion passes three, zero.