

Item 23-1476: Resolution #15-R-23 Parking Facility & Management Study

Municipal Services Committee

Mon, Jan 08, 2024 4:30PM

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 43:18

Our next item is item number 23-1476 Resolution 15-R-23 parking facility and management study. And thank you to everyone for allowing this to come back so that I could participate in the discussion. Did we want to start with a motion?

Aldersperson Chad Doran (District 15) 43:38

Move to approve.

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 43:39

We have a motion to approve. Do we have a second? We don't have a second. I do see that we have someone joining us in the audience for this item. Are you here to speak on this item? Okay.

[Someone said something off microphone.]

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 43:59

Okay, thank you. I thought—did I see Alder Fenton? Okay. I'm going to start with the author of the resolution and let Alder Doran have the floor.

Aldersperson Chad Doran (District 15) 44:20

Alright, I'm just gonna remind our colleagues, I guess, what we're trying to accomplish here. This came out of some, I guess, at this point, fairly significant discussion with staff trying to answer some questions that staff has about a number of issues related to our parking utility and our facilities. So, what we're essentially trying to do here is just take an all-encompassing look at our assets and see what an actual consultant who specializes in these things might recommend. The idea here is not to try to sort of sway the consultant to do anything in particular or to limit what we're looking at. In fact, I mean, the resolution just calls for staff to put together a request for proposals which would then come back to us to Council for approval anyway. So, the whole idea here is just so that we have a professional look at all of our assets to tell us how to best manage them and in whatever way that that means. So, we're really just trying to do what's best for the parking utility here and see where the professionals take this.

Aldersperson Chad Doran (District 15) 45:39

So, I know that some of my colleagues had had some concerns about the paragraph that talks about selling parking ramps, but it's all part of this just all-encompassing look. If a consultant comes back and says we, you know, we have no reason to look at doing that, then I'll assume that we're not going to take a look at doing that and wouldn't approve that. If the consultant says, "You might want to take a look at this for these reasons," well, then there's a good opportunity for us to have some discussion. It doesn't mean we have to do it, or we're going to do it. But at least we have some opinion from a professional who specializes in this work, to say, "here's why we think that you might want to at least give this a look." It may not be worth it at all. But that professional might look at that. Same as if we want to talk about looking at leasing our—a private management company to manage our assets. That may or may not be a good idea, but I don't know that any of us know those answers. And that's what staff is asking for is to have a professional take a look at this for them, and give us a report and some answers on the direction that we should go.

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 46:47

I was able to get my questions answered from Director Block about the study. My concern was, we've already invested in a study. We have some of the options in the study available to us. And I appreciated Director Block taking the time to explain the difference in what we would be looking for in in this versus the downtown parking study from 2015.

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 47:14

My only concern is that my constituents and the Appleton Downtown have pushed back on this wording of including the sale or lease of parts or all of the parking utility. And that seems to be that seems to be something that upset a lot of my constituents, and I couldn't see voting for it with the wording including that. But I do think the study is important, and I think looking at our assets is important. If it doesn't matter, as Alder Doran states, then I would make a motion to just remove that item, "including the sale or lease of parts or all of the parking utility." So, I'll make that motion right now.

Aldersperson Vered Meltzer (District 2) 48:01

Point of order. Can you make a motion since there wasn't a second yet?

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 48:06

Do we have to make a motion to approve before we amend?

Attorney Christopher Behrens 48:10

Yep, there's nothing on the table to amend right now.

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 48:13

Oh, yes. Okay. So how should we do this? So, we don't have a motion to approve though.

Aldersperson Vered Meltzer (District 2) 48:25

I'll make a motion to deny.

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 48:27

And I'll second. But if we do that, nope, hold on, stop. I'm gonna withdraw my second. If we do that, we won't be able to amend it. It has to be approved in order to amend.

Attorney Christopher Behrens 48:41

Well, an amendment would be made while it's on the floor. I could make this really complicated.

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 48:49

Let just make it as simple as possible.

Attorney Christopher Behrens 48:54

Could move by substitution with that phrase—

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 48:57

Okay. Let's do that. Thank you, Attorney Behrens. So, I'll make a motion to substitute the resolution without that "including the sale or lease of parts or all of the parking utility." This is a motion for substitution. Do we have a second?

Aldersperson Vered Meltzer (District 2) 49:15

I'll second.

Attorney Christopher Behrens 49:16

Chair, technically it's a motion to approve by substitution.

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 49:20

Motion to approve by substitution. Thank you. So, we have a motion to approve by substitution and a second. Any discussion? Alder Hartzheim.

Aldersperson Sheri Hartzheim (District 13) 49:31

Thank you, Chair. I would encourage this committee to deny this substituted motion to approve. If we are looking for all the answers, why would we take a potential answer off the table? I would like to see this whole study go to a professional, and I would like for them—I would love for them—to say "Don't even think about selling those parking ramps," because then we could stop talking about this as an option.

Aldersperson Sheri Hartzheim (District 13) 50:06

We are afraid of the of the boogeyman under the bed, and we don't even know whether there's a boogeyman under the bed or not. You say your constituents—well, anyone, many people, say their constituents and ADI are—they don't want this. But they don't know whether it's going to be detrimental, and we would never do something that would be detrimental. We need to have the answer and leave all the options on the table in order to make a valid, smart decision for the city.

Aldersperson Sheri Hartzheim (District 13) 50:39

Again, I feel like we're afraid of the boogeyman under the table, because so many people are pushing back, but they're pushing back with feelings, not with facts. It feels like it's going to be difficult. It feels like it's going to cost more. It feels like it's going to be this, that, or the other thing, but we don't know that. And we won't know it unless it's an option that's available to us from a professional who is able to evaluate that for the city of Appleton. We can't use another city's example of whether it worked or didn't. We can't use any even past decisions that Appleton has made; we can't use those decisions, because this is now. This isn't 2015 when that study took place the last time. This is now. And the pushback that we're getting is people being afraid of things that we don't even know whether we should be afraid of them or not. So, I really would encourage you to please leave the resolution as is, allow the DPW to come up with a request for quotes so that we can determine whether that is really what we want to know because otherwise we're answering our own question. Thank you.

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 51:47

I heard the author state that having that item in there did nothing to change what the study would bring to us. I guess maybe I'll ask the author again to state their intent regarding this including the sale. Alder Doran, would you like to address that? Did I misunderstand you?

Aldersperson Chad Doran (District 15) 52:07

I don't remember exactly what I said about that. I think we're getting way too way too deep in the weeds about wording here. The point of this is to be an all-encompassing study and look at every aspect and possibility for our parking utility so, as Alder Hartzheim said, we have the answers. We don't know. We're not the experts. Let's just let staff do what they're asking for here which is to get experts to do that work so staff has some answers that they can bring to us to make a decision about. So, I don't know why we want to—why we would want to hamstring any parts of this under some assumption that we're getting from some residents, a couple of

people who might say, "I don't think this is a good idea." Well, I don't know if it's a good idea or not. That's why we're trying to do this study. And I—unless they're professional parking engineers, I'm not sure I'd put a lot of faith in that. We all have strong feelings about things, but our job is to do what's right for the city. And this is this is taking a look at all avenues. So, let's just let that happen. Let it play out, and then we'll see where it goes. And then we'll kind of make decisions from there. But we shouldn't we shouldn't be making decisions to say we don't even want to look at something that may or may not be a benefit because we don't know just because we're afraid.

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 53:26

Director Block, could you just clarify what you see this study—what kind of information you see coming across in this study and whether or not you believe that removal of that line would change the scope for someone who's considering responding to our request for proposal? Does this "including the sale or lease of parts or all of the parking utility" have to be in our request for proposal for them to discuss what might be best for the parking utility?

Director Danielle Block (Department Of Public Works) 54:05

So, what I what I can say is if this were removed from the resolution, it would not appear in the language that we present back to you in the request for proposals. We would not use any discussion about reviewing, analyzing, taking a look at sale or lease of part of parts or all of the parking utility. Wouldn't appear. What I would point you to is the best management practices and options. I mean that's pretty open ended.

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 54:35

Yeah, I would agree. Thank you. I saw Alder Alfheim and then Alder Meltzer, please.

Aldersperson Kristin Alfheim (District 11) 54:43

This makes me shake my head. At the beginning of my colleague Alder Doran's statement, he said, "Let me remind my colleagues our job is to reduce costs." No, it's actually to take care of the city and make sure that all aspects of it are healthy, including driving revenue to the downtown. That is our job. It is not just to find every nickel we can find to save, but it is to provide a great service at the best price we can. So, we have a bigger job than find a nickel to save.

Aldersperson Kristin Alfheim (District 11) 55:17

Number two, my biggest issue with this is we did—we paid a consultant to do a study back in the day. And when that study came out, it recommended in order to best utilize those services, we should be increasing our marketing. We should be doing signage changes. Increasing revenue is the same math is decreasing cost, and if we actually would have taken action on the study back in the day to do the driving of revenue to the parks—or to the parking ramps, we may not be in the financial situation we're in today. And instead of actually taking action and moving forward and driving business to the entity for the good of the city and the bottom line, we're now going to not spend that money but we're going to do another study that just tries to see how bad is it?

Aldersperson Kristin Alfheim (District 11) 56:13

Now to Alder Hartzheim's point, I like information. I wish that in using the term "emotional" we realized that that paragraph didn't have to be put in that resolution. The emotion in this conversation has come from the last three years I've been here, threats from some of my colleagues in trying to get rid of the parking ramps. That's where the conversation has started. And if that paragraph would not have been put in the resolution, perhaps there would be less emotion towards it. So, the finger pointing on who's saying who, please stop. Our goal is to have a healthy downtown. The question is whether we are doing everything in our power to do that.

Aldersperson Kristin Alfheim (District 11) 56:53

My problem with spending more money to get another study that then leads us down the path of where should we spend the money is that it's paralysis by analysis. We were already told what to do. We were already told our parking ramps are vital to our downtown businesses' success. We were told to market it better so that we utilize them to their full potential. And we chose not to take that action years ago. I'm begging us to actually follow the advice we've been given by consultants for the hard-earned taxpayer dollars that we've paid them. Instead of paying another one, can we just take action on this one? Drive revenue. Fix the problem. Quit trying to save a nickel and maybe we could start making a nickel by following the advice we've been given. Thank you.

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 57:42

Before Alder Meltzer goes could I just have Director Block speak about the difference—what you think you're getting out of this this study versus the Walker study? I made some notes, but I think it might be best to come from you.

Director Danielle Block (Department Of Public Works) 57:55

Sure. Sure. Thank you, Chair. I would—and the chair touched on this a little bit, but the Walker study—it's not just because it was done in 2015. I think the Walker study was prompted by looking at almost a supply demand look at the downtown parking and do we have the correct number of 30-minute, hour, you know, are our—is supplied meeting demand for the downtown, which was important. And I think a lot of what was discussed in there has been executed on with the exception being kind of that last remaining marketing and wayfinding signage. That's what was presented in the budget.

Director Danielle Block (Department Of Public Works) 58:36

What we viewed this resolution and some of this discussion on best practice management practices is really looking at the policies that go along with the parking utility. So designated stall purchase policies, whether that be on street or in the ramps. We would look at special event parking; is there a better way to manage, you know, PAC events? Is there a better ways to manage special event, just in general, major events that happened in the downtown? Can the red ramp be optimized during Oktoberfest so folks don't have the queue? You know, is there different collaborations with private entities in how we manage some of our agreements? We also have surface lots that have agreements that go along with those. Are we—are those optimized to really, well, increase that revenue and boost up the parking utility in terms of driving people downtown, making the whole user experience more appealing for the downtown? I also think a review of some of the assets we have like the skywalks. When those were created, right, they were tied to some were tied to development agreements. Are those still working? Is the spirit of that agreement still being fulfilled? Are they public access walkways? What could make those experiences better? So, I think it kind of takes the walker study a little, a little further, if you will, and staff does see value in that. Before we start marketing some of these aspects, let's make sure some of the policies and procedures we use are truly spot on.

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:00:22

I appreciate you addressing that. Thank you. Alder Meltzer, you are next.

Aldersperson Vered Meltzer (District 2) 1:00:25

Thank you, I certainly agree that there's value in looking at optimizing and looking at our policies and procedures. However, I don't need to pay a consultant to know that selling or leasing our ramps is going to increase costs for the users. And I don't need a consultant to tell me that it's going to save money for the city. What privatizing or selling or leasing would do would be to displace the cost from the city on to our residents. Right now, we have a beautiful system set up with a parking utility. We are able to keep costs low in a way that

really helps to nurture the vitality of downtown. I don't need a consultant to tell me that putting the ramps into a different type of management condition would change that, would break that irreparably in a way that there's no going back from. So, I would very much like us to approve the amend—the substitution motion that that we're in the middle of, get rid of this looking at sale or lease, and then I'll be happy to move forward. Thank you.

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:01:45

Any other questions or discussion? Alder Fenton? I don't have your microphone number. Go ahead.

Aldersperson Denise Fenton (District 6) 1:01:52

Thank you, Chair. I have a question in all of this. We have \$100,000 allocated for wayfinding and marketing that we approved in the 2024 budget. I guess my question is, does anybody have any idea how much this study would cost? And as a follow up to that question, what sort of budget amendment are we going—or would we be planning to do to pay for this?

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:02:22

It was my understanding, and Director Block, you can correct me if I'm wrong, but the the—just to make sure the funding for this study would come from the wayfinding budget, correct?

Director Danielle Block (Department Of Public Works) 1:02:33

Correct.

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:02:34

And then do you have any idea of approximately what something like this might cost?

Director Danielle Block (Department Of Public Works) 1:02:39

I looked up the cost of the Walker study back in 2015, and I factored in some escalation related to that. I figured about \$75,000. But until you know I have the RFP written and we start getting feedback back. I won't be certain until—

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:03:04

Sure.

Director Danielle Block (Department Of Public Works) 1:03:05

—we get those back.

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:03:07

Well, and just a reminder, if this did go through as listed here, and the RFPs went out and came back higher than the amount, we would still be weighing in on that as a Council. Alder Alfheim.

Aldersperson Kristin Alfheim (District 11) 1:03:20

Thank you, Chair. There's a lot of things that Director Block said that I think would be great to know and great to learn. I think they're always gaining efficiency for the value of our resource is important. My challenge with the timing of this in in what's going on, we worked hard to say to finish the last one that it would be better if we could utilize and help out. I do believe that we could sit together and figure out a way to, during Oktoberfest, help people realize the value of the ramps. I don't think we need to pay somebody 7500—\$75,000 today to do that. The day will come when we need that study.

Aldersperson Kristin Alfheim (District 11) 1:03:58

I have an issue where we're saying we're going to take \$100,000 and put it towards Ways and Means and Marketing, and then we immediately take three quarters of it back to send for another study. That's what I have an issue of because it means we're not following through on the plan. To your point. If we had all the answers, that'd be even better. We would make a perfect picture if we have that. But we keep not making a picture at all. So, we can do something in terms of saying "Hey, let's utilize this."

Aldersperson Kristin Alfheim (District 11) 1:04:26

Again, our parking issue is a visual one. It's not actually a reality, right? People think there's nowhere to park. We have to reeducate on that and show the value of these resources we have. I think that every time we push off an action that will actually cause a reaction, we're driving ourselves into the hole. If we don't have the parking available, we're not going to have businesses. Well good news then we won't need parking. Then we for sure can sell them off. That's the path we're going on, and I would like us to break that. Let's at least follow through on what we've been asked to do to help drive revenue to our community parking. And then the day will come—and maybe it's next year—that we ask for the funds to decide how do we make it even better. But I really hope that we do not take away that money in the action step, just to cause another analysis step, especially at what could be three quarters of the cost.

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:04:26

Thank you. I think my concern is more with the issues of how we're charging for things. You know, I want to make sure that this, that the utility is not losing money and that we aren't just picking to raise the pass rate here or raise the pass rate there. I think we are better off knowing how much we should be charging, especially when we look at new businesses making their way downtown. How much should we be charging them right away for those passes, as opposed to starting with something and then trying to raise it later? That's where I see the value in the study is understanding the pricing in the revenue. And I think if we can fix the revenue issue, then I think we don't need to worry as much about where that funding will come from marketing. I think that's built into it. That's my take on the study portion of things. Any other questions? Alder Doran.

Aldersperson Chad Doran (District 15) 1:06:33

Director Block was mentioned that adding in this, asking a consultant to look at whether or not it's a good idea to even entertain selling the parking ramps, would raise the cost of doing the study. Do you know that to be true or not?

Director Danielle Block (Department Of Public Works) 1:06:51

I don't know that to be true. No.

Aldersperson Chad Doran (District 15) 1:06:54

Okay, so I mean, it might just be part of the overall cost. May not cost us any extra.

Director Danielle Block (Department Of Public Works) 1:07:01

May not. I can't say with certainty.

Aldersperson Chad Doran (District 15) 1:07:04

Right. So, it's my point, like, I don't know why we're—what we're afraid of here. I don't know why we don't want to have a consultant look at all of our options. It just seems silly and short sighted to just say like, "Nope, we don't even want to look at this." If we don't want to look at it, then why are we looking at any of the rest of it? If we think we have all the answers already, then why are we entertaining it? The whole reason I brought this

resolution forward was because staff asked for all of these things. I think this is like—people think I'm on this crusade to sell our parking ramps and have been for four years is silly. I've mentioned it before, because I think it's something that we should look at, just like I think we should look at all these other things. This is all part of the bigger picture here. We don't know what we don't know. Why don't we want to have the answers to those questions so that we know what we're looking at and can make better informed decisions? I just don't see the—I don't see the point of saying no to one piece of this just because we have this assumption it's not going to be good. But we don't know that. You know, we may just decide we're gonna raise the hourly rate to \$8 an hour. Well, if some private company buys a city owned ramp, they might charge \$7. **[Note: Alderperson Meltzer laughs.]** We don't know. They might charge \$12. We don't know. We don't know. I mean, we can sit up here and assume. You guys think it's funny, but we don't know if the answer. So, we're just doing a disservice here. Like, what are we what are we afraid of you guys? It just seems silly.

Alderperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:08:24

I'm going to ask Director Block. Director Block, did staff tell Alder Doron that they wanted to look further into the sale or lease of parts or all of the parking utility?

Director Danielle Block (Department Of Public Works) 1:08:39

So, I recall this conversation probably last fall, and it surrounded by several options related to the parking facility because I think we can all recognize that how do we make the parking utility a more successful utility—right?—for the future of the downtown. So, I think during the discussion, all options were on the table, and we worked together. I gave feedback related to what staff thought would be a value in continuing to manage the parking utility. I think a facility strategic plan—it's I think the second sentence of the last paragraph, is also another crucial part. Where do we see it going? Some long-range planning for the parking utility? So.

Alderperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:09:29

Thank you. I think that Alder Doran is concerned that we're afraid of something by not including this line, but nobody has explained to me how a review of our assets would not allow us to make a decision about something like that moving forward. I just I'm trying to find a compromise to help our staff get the study that they need and to pass Alder Doran's resolution. That's what I see this as, as a compromise that may exit palatable for everyone. So, this isn't a matter of me being afraid of anything. This is a matter of how can how can we get the most out of this resolution in front of us? And how can we help staff and help shore up the parking facility that we need for our downtown businesses? I saw Alder Alfheim over here first.

Alderperson Kristin Alfheim (District 11) 1:10:23

Thank you, Chair. Riveting conversation, and this is what committees are for. Right? There's nothing wrong with this. I am not at all against the idea of paying professionals to help us be better at running our city. I have a problem with it this year, because we've already allocated the dollars to do good in the same conversation. I do not have a problem with us growing and learning more as the world changes, especially when the words coming out of Director Block's mouth every time are "make us better for the community". Right? Access, bringing people in—all of those—everything she said that a study would give her, were positives for the individuals who live in this town. I have yet to hear a comment come out of Director Block that says "Yeah, we're—we can't wait to save that money." No, she's—they're looking to gain all of the knowledge.

Alderperson Kristin Alfheim (District 11) 1:11:22

To Alder Doran's point, I don't think that there's nothing you need to be afraid of. I will absolutely stand on this podium and say those downtown parking facilities are necessities and imperative, and I'm pretty sure I'll be found right. Let's find that out when we do the study, which should not be right now. Because we already said we were going to take action on information we learned in the past. So, there is no fear on my part. I like

information. Data will stand on its own. I'm asking us not—considering we argue about \$1,500 on a regular basis, you seem to think it's no big deal to spend 75 for information we don't need immediately. All I'm saying is don't mix the two up. The old saying is three frogs on a log. Two of them decide to jump off. How many are still in the log? All three, because deciding and taking action are very different things. I'm begging us to actually take action on something that we've asked to do. And we can have this conversation again in the future and get all the facts you want. I just don't want to take the money away from what we said we were going to do with it.

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:12:32

And I agreed with you Alder Alfheim until Director Block told me that they would feel more comfortable with this study to make the specific decisions, that there might be some overlap in the marketing. Director Block, would you like to discuss that? I don't want to put words in your mouth. So, would there be—would there be a concern that if we move forward with—did not move forward with the study and did go ahead and put the marketing plan into place, is there a concern that we might have to backtrack or that we might—we might have used funds for signage and things like that that we would have—will wish that we haven't once the study comes out?

Director Danielle Block (Department Of Public Works) 1:13:23

So, I think to answer that question, the very last sentence of the resolution was very intentional "and to develop a downtown marketing and promotional campaign." So still recognizing that we need to do. We need to start taking action on this Walker study, and how do we fold that into gaining more knowledge here? So that's important to us and it hasn't been lost in this resolution.

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:13:51

Alder Siebers.

Aldersperson William Siebers (District 1) 1:13:53

I think we've heard all the points. I think we need to take a vote.

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:13:57

I did see Alder Hartzheim. Alder Hartzheim. Did you have something to add? Yes, Oh, I'm sorry.

Aldersperson Sheri Hartzheim (District 13) 1:14:09

Thank you. I understand where Alder Alfheim is coming from. But I think it's important that we remember that we amend the budget all the time. And I think it might be wise for us to amend the budget that came—a budget line item that came from 2015 when "Uptown Funk" was the top song.

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:14:31

Thank you. Any other questions or discussion? Oh, yes. Let me go ahead and turn on the microphone for you. Just make sure that it's working. State your name and address for the record please. Is it working? I don't think it's—ope, there you go. Try that again.

Aldersperson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:14:53

Any other questions or discussion on this item? Alder Doran.

Jennifer Stephany (Appleton Downtown Incorporated) 1:14:53

Jennifer Stephany. I'm the executive director with Appleton Downtown Incorporated, and I have had some discussions with Director Block and with several alderspersons. We definitely understand, and I think we all agree, that having the best effective system for downtown parking is what we all strive for. So being able to

have that best operating approach and plan and policies, very valuable. I also think that there are valuable public/private partnerships that we take on in many different ways of operation in our community and policies that reflect that. So, I can see the value of where this is going. I think the wording—maybe that word smithing approach, would help in this, I guess, comfort level of where this is going. It's also unfortunate to have another year without a marketing effort. I can see the benefit of having a plan, but there's no action happening this year. And that's the unfortunate part in this, I think. Just a couple of comments. Thank you. Appreciate it.

Alderson Chad Doran (District 15) 1:16:10

Just want to remind my colleagues that the word smithing, I guess, if we will is going to come when staff brings forward an RFP for approval.

Alderson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:16:29

Any other questions, comments, discussion? All right.

Alderson Chad Doran (District 15) 1:16:34

Can Attorney Behrens just remind us where we are, I guess, as far as what we're actually—we're voting on an amendment by substitution, right?

Alderson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:16:41

As far as I understand, Attorney Behrens, we voted to approve by substitution the resolution minus the "including the sale or lease of parts or all of the parking utility". Is that correct?

[Attorney Behrens responded off microphone.]

Alderson Chad Doran (District 15) 1:17:03

So that one vote then—one vote approves the, moves it forward to Council that way then? We're not taking like a vote on an amendment and then the item as amended? Okay.

Alderson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:17:15

So, everyone clear on that before we vote? All right. All those in favor say aye.

Aldersons Meltzer, Van Zeeland, And Alfheim 1:17:21

Aye.

Alderson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:17:23

Any opposed?

Aldersons Siebers and Doran 1:17:23

Nay.

Alderson Katie Van Zeeland (District 5) 1:17:25

The motion passes 3-2.