



City of Appleton

100 North Appleton Street
Appleton, WI 54911-4799
www.appleton.org

Meeting Agenda - Final Common Council

Wednesday, November 8, 2023

6:00 PM

Council Chambers

Special Session - Adoption of the 2024 Executive Budget

- A. CALL TO ORDER
- B. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG
- C. ROLL CALL OF ALDERPERSONS
- D. ROLL CALL OF OFFICERS AND DEPARTMENT HEADS
- E. BUSINESS PRESENTED BY THE MAYOR
- F. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION
- G. ESTABLISH ORDER OF THE DAY

[23-1351](#) Approve the Finance Committee Budget Workshop ("Budget Saturday")
Minutes from October 28, 2023

Attachments: [Finance Budget Workshop Minutes 10-28-23.pdf](#)

[23-1352](#) Suspend the Council Rules to go into Committee of the Whole (acting as
the Finance Committee) to take up the Finance Committee Budget
Workshop Minutes

- H. COMMITTEE REPORTS

MINUTES OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

[23-1275](#) Request to approve Wastewater Budget (pgs 501-524)

Legislative History

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1276](#) Request to approve Water Budget (pgs 477-500)

Legislative History

- [23-1277](#) 10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval
Request to approve Stormwater Budget (pgs 525-542)
- Legislative History**
- 10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval
- [23-1278](#) Request to approve Public Works Budget (pgs 299-323)
- Legislative History**
- 10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval
Meeting went into Recess
- Meeting Reconvened*
- [23-1279](#) Request to approve Sanitation Special Revenue Fund Budget (pgs 325-338)
- Legislative History**
- 10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval
Amendment (Croatt/Hartzheim): Increase tire disposal fee to \$12/tire. Passed 5-0
- [23-1280](#) Request to approve Wheel Tax Special Revenue Fund Budget (pgs 340-342)
- Legislative History**
- 10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval
- [23-1281](#) Request to approve Subdivision Capital Projects Fund Budget (pgs 344-346)
- Legislative History**
- 10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval
- [23-1282](#) Request to approve Public Works Capital Projects Fund Budget (pgs 348-350)
- Legislative History**
- 10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval
- [23-1283](#) Request to approve Central Equipment Agency Budget (pgs 365-375)
- Legislative History**
- 10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval
- [23-1284](#) Request to approve CEA Replacement Capital Projects Fund Budget (pgs 378-380)
- Legislative History**
- 10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1285](#) Request to approve Parking Budget (pgs 351-364)**Legislative History**

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval
Amend (Hartzheim/Croatt): \$100k allocated for parking ramp signage/marketing campaign be reduced to \$50K with the other \$50k being set aside for a smaller scope marketing study. FAILED 2-3

Meeting went into Recess

Meeting Reconvened

[23-1286](#) Request to approve Human Resources Budget (pgs 123-132)**Legislative History**

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1287](#) Request to approve Risk Management Budget (pgs 133-141)**Legislative History**

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1288](#) Request to approve Health Budget (pgs 381-392)**Legislative History**

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1289](#) Request to approve Health Grants Special Revenue Budget (pgs 393-411)**Legislative History**

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1290](#) Request to approve Legal Services Budget (pgs 103-118)**Legislative History**

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1291](#) Request to approve Police Budget (pgs 413-427)**Legislative History**

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1292](#) Request to approve Police Grants Special Revenue Fund Budget (pgs 430-432)**Legislative History**

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1293](#) Request to approve Public Safety Capital Projects Fund Budget (pgs 434-436)

Legislative History

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1294](#) Request to approve Fire Budget (pgs 437-455)

Legislative History

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1295](#) Request to approve Hazardous Materials Type II Special Revenue Fund Budget (pgs 458-460)

Legislative History

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1296](#) Request to approve Valley Transit Budget (pgs 281-298)

Legislative History

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

Meeting went into Recess

Meeting Reconvened

[23-1297](#) Request to approve Community & Economic Development Budget (pgs 143-158)

Legislative History

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1298](#) Request to approve Housing and Community Development Grants Special Revenue Fund Budget (pgs 159-173)

Legislative History

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1299](#) Request to approve Industrial Park Land Fund Budget (pgs 176-178)

Legislative History

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1300](#) Request to approve TIF Districts Budget (pgs 183-218)

Legislative History

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1301](#) Request to approve Facilities and Construction Management Budget (pgs 219-228)

Legislative History

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1302](#) Request to approve Facilities Capital Projects Fund Budget (pgs 230-232)

Legislative History

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1303](#) Request to approve Parks and Recreation Budget (pgs 233-241)

Legislative History

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1304](#) Request to approve Parks and Recreation Trust Funds Budget (pgs 243-248)

Legislative History

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1305](#) Request to approve Reid Golf Course Budget (pgs 249-258)

Legislative History

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1306](#) Request to approve Information Technology Budget (pgs 89-98)

Legislative History

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval
Meeting went into Recess

Meeting Reconvened

[23-1307](#) Request to approve Information Technology Capital Projects Fund Budget (pgs 100-102)

Legislative History

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1308](#) Request to approve Library Budget (pgs 259-276)

Legislative History

10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

[23-1309](#) Request to approve Library Grants Special Revenue Budget (pgs 278-280)

Legislative History

- [23-1310](#) 10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval
Request to approve Mayor Budget (pgs 45-54)
Legislative History
10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval
- [23-1311](#) Request to approve Council Budget (pgs 55-58)
Legislative History
10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval
- [23-1312](#) Request to approve Debt Service Budget (pgs 461-467)
Legislative History
10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval
- [23-1313](#) Request to approve General Administration Budget (pgs 75-80)
Legislative History
10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval
- [23-1314](#) Request to approve Room Tax Special Revenue Fund Budget (pgs 82-84)
Legislative History
10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval
- [23-1315](#) Request to approve Finance Budget (pgs 59-68)
Legislative History
10/28/23 Finance Committee recommended for approval

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS (New)

- [23-1362](#) **Add \$8,000 to Police Other Contracts/Obligations for Flock Safety
Cameras
Remove \$5,000 from Mayor Training/Conferences
Remove \$3,000 for Poet Laureate program from Mayor Other
Contracts/Obligations**
*Page 425 Police
Page 49 Mayor
Page 51 Mayor
(Doran)*

[23-1360](#) **Remove \$5,400 from Solid Waste Collection Grass Clipping Fee Revenue for closure of Whitman Yard Waste Site**
Remove \$33,772 from Solid Waste Collection Salaries for closure of Whitman Yard Waste Site
Remove \$13,528 from Solid Waste Collection Fringes for closure of Whitman Yard Waste Site
Remove \$9,600 from Solid Waste Collection Misc. Equipment for closure of Whitman Yard Waste Site
\$ (51,500)

Page 333 Sanitation
(Doran)

[23-1361](#) **Reduce Parking Other Capital Outlay to \$50,000 for marketing campaign/wayfinding signage**
\$ (50,000)

Page 357 Parking
(Hartzheim)

[23-1363](#) **Add \$1,115 to Human Resources Food & Provisions for the Sunshine Committee**
Reduce Council Training/Conferences to \$1,725

Page 131 Human Resources
Page 57 Council
(Hartzheim)

[23-1364](#) **Decrease Special Assessment rate for R-1 zoning to 75%**
Reduce Miscellaneous Specials to \$171,075
\$ (28,050)

Page 345 Subdivision
(Hartzheim)

Attachments: [2023 Assessment Policy - Adopted 6-01-22.pdf](#)
[Special Assessment Change Value Estimate.pdf](#)

RISE AND REPORT

[23-1353](#) Reconvene to Common Council

[23-1354](#) Report of the Committee of the Whole

[23-1355](#) 2024 Budget Resolution

Attachments: [2024 Budget Resolution.pdf](#)

[23-1356](#) Reconsideration of the Report of the Committee of the Whole

I. OTHER COUNCIL BUSINESS

J. ADJOURN

Kami Lynch, City Clerk

Reasonable accommodations for persons with disabilities will be made upon request and if feasible.

Remote meeting attendance may be permitted pursuant to Section 2-29 of the Appleton Municipal Code and Rules of Council.



City of Appleton

100 North Appleton Street
Appleton, WI 54911-4799
www.appleton.org

Meeting Minutes Finance Committee

Saturday, October 28, 2023

8:00 AM

Council Chambers, 6th Floor

BUDGET WORKSHOP

1. Call meeting to order

Meeting called to order at 8am

2. Pledge of Allegiance

3. Roll call of membership

Present: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

4. **Public Hearing/Appearances**

5. **Action Items**

[23-1275](#)

Request to approve Wastewater Budget (pgs 501-524)

Hartzheim moved, seconded by Fenton, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1276](#)

Request to approve Water Budget (pgs 477-500)

Hartzheim moved, seconded by Van Zeeland, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1277](#)

Request to approve Stormwater Budget (pgs 525-542)

Fenton moved, seconded by Van Zeeland, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton and Van Zeeland

Nay: 1 - Hartzheim

[23-1278](#)

Request to approve Public Works Budget (pgs 299-323)

Meeting went into Recess

Meeting Reconvened

Fenton moved, seconded by Hartzheim, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1279](#)

Request to approve Sanitation Special Revenue Fund Budget (pgs 325-338)

Amendment (Croatt/Hartzheim): Increase tire disposal fee to \$12/tire. Passed 5-0

Hartzheim moved, seconded by Van Zeeland, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1280](#)

Request to approve Wheel Tax Special Revenue Fund Budget (pgs 340-342)

Croatt moved, seconded by Van Zeeland, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1281](#)

Request to approve Subdivision Capital Projects Fund Budget (pgs 344-346)

Croatt moved, seconded by Fenton, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton and Van Zeeland

Nay: 1 - Hartzheim

[23-1282](#)

Request to approve Public Works Capital Projects Fund Budget (pgs 348-350)

Croatt moved, seconded by Fenton, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1283](#)

Request to approve Central Equipment Agency Budget (pgs 365-375)

Croatt moved, seconded by Hartzheim, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1284](#)

Request to approve CEA Replacement Capital Projects Fund Budget (pgs 378-380)

Fenton moved, seconded by Hartzheim, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1285](#)

Request to approve Parking Budget (pgs 351-364)

Amend (Hartzheim/Croatt): \$100k allocated for parking ramp signage/marketing campaign be reduced to \$50K with the other \$50k being set aside for a smaller scope marketing study. FAILED 2-3

Meeting went into Recess

Meeting Reconvened

Van Zeeland moved, seconded by Fenton, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1286](#)

Request to approve Human Resources Budget (pgs 123-132)

Hartzheim moved, seconded by Croatt, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1287](#)

Request to approve Risk Management Budget (pgs 133-141)

Croatt moved, seconded by Hartzheim, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1288](#)

Request to approve Health Budget (pgs 381-392)

Fenton moved, seconded by Van Zeeland, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1289](#)

Request to approve Health Grants Special Revenue Budget (pgs 393-411)

Fenton moved, seconded by Van Zeeland, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1290](#)

Request to approve Legal Services Budget (pgs 103-118)

Hartzheim moved, seconded by Croatt, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1291](#)

Request to approve Police Budget (pgs 413-427)

Fenton moved, seconded by Croatt, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1292](#)

Request to approve Police Grants Special Revenue Fund Budget (pgs 430-432)

Croatt moved, seconded by Fenton, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1293](#)

Request to approve Public Safety Capital Projects Fund Budget (pgs 434-436)

Croatt moved, seconded by Fenton, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1294](#)

Request to approve Fire Budget (pgs 437-455)

Fenton moved, seconded by Croatt, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1295](#)

Request to approve Hazardous Materials Type II Special Revenue Fund Budget (pgs 458-460)

Hartzheim moved, seconded by Croatt, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1296](#)

Request to approve Valley Transit Budget (pgs 281-298)

Meeting went into Recess

Meeting Reconvened

Croatt moved, seconded by Fenton, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1297](#)

Request to approve Community & Economic Development Budget (pgs 143-158)

Fenton moved, seconded by Van Zeeland, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1298](#)

Request to approve Housing and Community Development Grants Special Revenue Fund Budget (pgs 159-173)

Croatt moved, seconded by Van Zeeland, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1299](#)

Request to approve Industrial Park Land Fund Budget (pgs 176-178)

Croatt moved, seconded by Fenton, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1300](#)

Request to approve TIF Districts Budget (pgs 183-218)

Fenton moved, seconded by Croatt, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1301](#)

Request to approve Facilities and Construction Management Budget (pgs 219-228)

Croatt moved, seconded by Fenton, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1302](#)

Request to approve Facilities Capital Projects Fund Budget (pgs 230-232)

Croatt moved, seconded by Hartzheim, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1303](#)

Request to approve Parks and Recreation Budget (pgs 233-241)

Hartzheim moved, seconded by Fenton, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1304](#)

Request to approve Parks and Recreation Trust Funds Budget (pgs 243-248)

Van Zeeland moved, seconded by Hartzheim, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1305](#)

Request to approve Reid Golf Course Budget (pgs 249-258)

Hartzheim moved, seconded by Croatt, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1306](#)

Request to approve Information Technology Budget (pgs 89-98)

Meeting went into Recess

Meeting Reconvened

Hartzheim moved, seconded by Fenton, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1307](#)

Request to approve Information Technology Capital Projects Fund Budget (pgs 100-102)

Hartzheim moved, seconded by Croatt, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1308](#)

Request to approve Library Budget (pgs 259-276)

Van Zeeland moved, seconded by Fenton, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1309](#)

Request to approve Library Grants Special Revenue Budget (pgs 278-280)

Croatt moved, seconded by Fenton, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1310](#)

Request to approve Mayor Budget (pgs 45-54)

Fenton moved, seconded by Van Zeeland, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1311](#)

Request to approve Council Budget (pgs 55-58)

Fenton moved, seconded by Firkus, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 3 - Firkus, Fenton and Van Zeeland

Nay: 2 - Croatt and Hartzheim

[23-1312](#)

Request to approve Debt Service Budget (pgs 461-467)

Van Zeeland moved, seconded by Hartzheim, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1313](#)

Request to approve General Administration Budget (pgs 75-80)

Fenton moved, seconded by Van Zeeland, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1314](#)

Request to approve Room Tax Special Revenue Fund Budget (pgs 82-84)

Fenton moved, seconded by Van Zeeland, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

[23-1315](#)

Request to approve Finance Budget (pgs 59-68)

Croatt moved, seconded by Hartzheim, that the Report Action Item be recommended for approval. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

7. Information Items

8. Adjournment

Fenton moved, seconded by Hartzheim, that the be adjourned. Roll Call. Motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Firkus, Croatt, Fenton, Hartzheim and Van Zeeland

**CITY OF APPLETON, WI
POLICY FOR SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS 2023**

I. STREET CONSTRUCTION AND RECONSTRUCTION

Adopted 6/01/22

A. General Information

| CONCRETE PAVEMENT | | R-1 Zoning | | R-2 Zoning | | All Other Zoning** | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| | | New | Rural to Urban Conversion | New | Rural to Urban Conversion | New | Rural to Urban Conversion |
| | Max. Width | 33' | 33' | 33' | 33' | 49' | 49' |
| | Max. Thickness | 7" | 7" | 7" | 7" | 9" | 9" |
| | Assessed at (%) | 75% | 75% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| | Multiple Frontage Reduction | Yes* | Yes* | Yes* | Yes* | None | None |
| | Base Assessment Rate | Calculated on an individual street basis using actual bid prices | | | | | |
| (Y=Assessed N=Not Assessed) | | | | | | | |
| Construction Items | | New Concrete | | Rural to Urban Conversion | | Direct Assessments (in addition to Base Rate) | |
| Administrative Fees | | Y | | Y | | - | |
| Property Owner Notification | | Y | | Y | | - | |
| Concrete Pavement | | Y | | Y | | - | |
| Curb & Gutter (Integral) | | Y | | Y | | - | |
| Sawcutting | | Y | | Y | | - | |
| Fine Grading | | Y | | Y | | - | |
| Seed & Mulch/Sod | | Y | | Y | | - | |
| Terrace Restoration | | Y | | Y | | - | |
| Concrete Driveway Apron | | Y | | N * | | Per bid price | |
| Trees | | Y | | Y | | \$1.00 \$1.50/front foot | |
| Miscellaneous Asphalt | | N | | N | | - | |
| Asphalt - Milling | | N | | N | | - | |
| Curb & Gutter (miscellaneous) | | N | | N | | - | |
| Geotextile Fabric | | N | | N | | - | |
| Stone Base | | N | | N | | - | |
| Unclassified Excavation | | N | | N | | - | |
| Erosion Control | | N | | N | | - | |
| Adjust MH/Inlet Tops | | N | | N | | - | |
| Asphalt - Miscellaneous | | N | | N | | - | |
| Asphalt Transitions | | N | | N | | - | |
| Curb Thimbles | | N | | N | | - | |
| Drill-in Tie Bars/Dowels | | N | | N | | - | |
| Driveway Closure | | N | | N | | - | |
| Inlet Leads | | N | | N | | - | |
| Maintenance Hole / Inlet Reconstruction | | N | | N | | - | |
| Maintenance Hole/Inlet Castings | | N | | N | | - | |
| MH Chimney Seals | | N | | N | | - | |
| Pavement Marking | | N | | N | | - | |
| PVC Pipe for sump pumps | | N | | N | | - | |
| Reinforcing Rods | | N | | N | | - | |
| Removal - Asphalt | | N | | N | | - | |
| Removal - C&G | | N | | N | | - | |
| Removal - Concrete | | N | | N | | - | |
| Removal - DW Aprons (Conc. & Asp.) | | N | | N | | - | |
| Removal - Sidewalk | | N | | N | | - | |
| Repair work from permits | | N | | N | | - | |
| Repair work from Utility Permits | | N | | N | | - | |
| Traffic Signals | | N | | N | | - | |

* See Calculation Guidelines

** Residential Use Properties to be Assessed as R-2

| ASPHALT PAVEMENT (Not including New Subdivisions) | | R-1 Zoning | | R-2 Zoning | | All Other Zoning** | |
|--|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | After G&G | Rural to Urban Conversion | After G&G | Rural to Urban Conversion | After G&G | Rural to Urban Conversion |
| | Max. Width | 33' | 33' | 33' | 33' | 49' | 49' |
| | Max. Thickness | 3" | 3" | 3" | 3" | 6" | 6" |
| | Assessed at (%) | 25% | 0% | 25% | 0% | 25% | 0% |
| | Multiple Frontage Reduction | Yes* | Yes* | Yes* | Yes* | None | None |
| Base Assessment Rate | Calculated on an individual street basis using actual bid prices | | | | | | |

| (Y=Assessed N=Not Assessed) | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Construction Items | Asphalt following G&G | Rural to Urban Conversion | Asphalt Reconstruct / Overlay | Direct Assessments (in addition to Base Rate) |
| Administrative Fees | Y | Y | N | - |
| Property Owner Notification | Y | Y | N | - |
| Asphalt Pavement | Y | Y | N | - |
| Milling | N | Y | N | - |
| Sawcutting | N | Y | N | - |
| Curb & Gutter (New/repair) | N | Y | N | - |
| Fine Grading | Y | Y | N | - |
| Seed & Mulch/Sod | N | Y | N | - |
| Terrace Restoration | N | Y | N | - |
| Concrete Driveway Apron | N | N * | N * | per bid price |
| Asphalt (miscellaneous) | N | N | N | - |
| Geotextile Fabric | N | N | N | - |
| Stone Base | N | N | N | - |
| Trees | N | N | N | - |
| Unclassified Excavation | N | N | N | - |
| Erosion Control | N | N | N | - |
| Adjust MH/Inlet Tops | N | N | N | - |
| Curb Thimbles | N | N | N | - |
| Drill-in Tie Bars/Dowels | N | N | N | - |
| Driveway Closure | N | N | N | - |
| Inlet Leads | N | N | N | - |
| Maintenance Hole / Inlet Reconstruction | N | N | N | - |
| Maintenance Hole/Inlet Castings | N | N | N | - |
| MH Chimney Seals | N | N | N | - |
| Pavement Marking | N | N | N | - |
| Removal - Asphalt | N | N | N | - |
| Removal - C&G | N | N | N | - |
| Removal - Concrete | N | N | N | - |
| Removal - DW Aprons (Conc. & Asp.) | N | N | N | - |
| Removal - Sidewalk | N | N | N | - |
| Repair work from permits | N | N | N | - |
| Repair work from Utility Projects | N | N | N | - |
| Traffic Signals | N | N | N | - |

* See Calculation Guidelines

** Residential Use Properties to be Assessed as R-2

| GRADING & GRAVELING (not including New Subdivisions) | R-1 Zoning | | R-2 Zoning | | All Other Zoning** | | |
|---|--|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--|------|
| | New Street | Rural to Urban Conversion | New Street | Rural to Urban Conversion | New Street | Rural to Urban Conversion | |
| | Max. Width | 35' | 35' | 35' | 35' | 51' | 51' |
| | Max. Thickness | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Assessed at (%) | 100% | 0% | 100% | 0% | 100% | 0% |
| | Multiple Frontage Reduction | Yes* | Yes* | Yes* | Yes* | None | None |
| Base assessment Rate | Calculated on an individual street basis based upon bid prices | | | | | | |
| (Y=Assessed N=Not Assessed) | | New Street | | Rural to Urban Conversion | | Direct Assessments (in addition to Base Rate) | |
| Construction Items | | | | | | | |
| Administrative Fees | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Property Owner Notification | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Fine Grading | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Seed & Mulch/Sod | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Erosion Control | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Sawcutting | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Unclassified Excavation | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Stone Base | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Geotextile Fabric | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Removal - Asphalt | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Removal - C&G | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Removal - Concrete | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Removal - Sidewalk | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Miscellaneous Asphalt | | N | | N | | - | |
| Miscellaneous Curb & Gutter | | N | | N | | - | |
| Adjust MH/Inlet Tops | | N | | N | | - | |
| Street Lighting | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Traffic Signals | | N | | N | | - | |

* See Calculation Guidelines

** Residential Use Properties to be Assessed as R-2

B. Calculation Guidelines – Street Construction and Reconstruction

1. Assessments will be levied according to the front foot dimensions of abutting property except as noted.
2. The assessment rate will be the portion (%) of assessable construction costs in accordance with the charts above. Assessments will be reduced proportionately for pavements constructed less than the maximum widths.
3. Public owned property, including lands under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education, Parks and Recreation Committee and other branches of city, churches and private schools and other exempt properties will be assessed 100% of the “all other zoning” assessment rate regardless of the zoning. (BPW 2/2/94) All county, state and federal governments will be exempt from assessment charges. (City Attorney 1/23/14)

4. The assessment rate for alley pavement will be based on the full width of the pavement.
5. The City assumes the entire cost of permanent pavement for all intersections on new construction in areas platted prior to 1/1/04 or after 12/31/14.
6. Driveway approaches shall be constructed at property owner's expense **when**:
 - a. When permanent street surfaces are constructed.
 - b. Where a street has been permanently improved, driveway approaches shall be installed within six months of the completion of the adjacent structure.
 - c. When ordered **to be** installed by the Common Council.
 - d. When a property owner requests approach to be widened, rebuilt or closed.
7. The costs of closing unused driveway openings that are closed in conjunction with the paving program are not directly assessed to the property owner.

Any driveway approach without improved surface shall be paved with a permanent surface in conjunction with a street-paving project. The cost will be assessed to the property.
(S&S 3/3/93 and MSC 9/3/97)

8. The cost of the initial asphalt surface application on a new subdivision gravel street will be billed at the time of official street opening.
9. All asphalt maintenance exclusive of the initial application will be done as general maintenance and at no cost to the abutting property.
10. Assessments for asphalt pavements that are constructed without curb and gutter (City standard) will be calculated by dividing total project cost by assessable frontage.
11. Assessments for trees will be included with paving assessments.
12. If one person owns an entire block as one parcel and the block is zoned R-1 or R-2, the shortest side shall be assessed in full. The remaining sides shall receive up to a 120' discount.
13. On paving projects where there are other contributing sources of funding such as federal, state, or from other units of government, the City rates will be applied. If projected revenue (using the City rates) exceeds the City's share of project costs, then assessment rates will be reduced proportionately so that revenue equals City share of project cost. "City share" of project cost will include, in addition to normal construction costs, items such as right-of-way acquisition, relocation costs, consultant cost, all Department of Transportation administrative and review costs, and any other fees charged by the other participating units of government.
(BPW 1/7/97)
14. When the long side of a corner lot falls on the "bulb" or "mouse ear", the assessment shall be calculated as follows:
 - a. Determine a rate per foot by dividing the lump sum per lot charge by the actual footage of the long side.
 - b. The first 120 feet will be charged 25% of the rate calculated in "a" above. The balance of the frontage will be assessed at 100% of the rate calculated in "a" above. (BPW 1/21/98)

15. The requesting property owner, where permitted, shall pay all additional cost for indented parking.
16. When additional pavement width is required to accommodate on street bike lanes, the extra width beyond what would be required for a standard street design, will not be assessed.
17. Assessments will only be levied on partial or total street reconstruction for those streets that do not meet current City Street or Drainage standards prior to their reconstruction.
18. Calculation Guidelines:
 - a. On multiple frontage lots zoned R-1 or R-2, the shortest side will be assessed at 100% of the assessment rate. On the other sides, the first 120 feet of each side will be assessed at 25% (R-2, 50%) of the assessment rate. The balance of the frontage will be assessed at 100% of the assessment rate.
 - b. On lots having multiple frontages, there will be NO assessment for the frontage to which access is legally precluded or fronts on a naturally occurring access barrier such as a steep incline.
 - c. On inside corner or multiple frontage lots, the side or sides precluded from access are not included in the assessment frontage determination.
 - d. On multiple frontage lots where all sides have equal frontage, the side to be considered the short side is the “Addressed” side.
 - e. On cul-de-sac lots, the abutting property owner shall be responsible for cul-de-sac pavement, overbuild costs including the straightaway portion of the affected property. The assessment will be calculated using total assessable cost divided by the number of properties fully or partially abutting the “bulb” according to the number of originally platted lots.
 - f. On “mouse ear” lots, defined as abutting lots to a widening in the road around a curve but not including lots on a cul-de-sac, the front foot dimensions for assessment calculation will be determined by dividing the square footage of the property by the average depth of the lots in the block.
 - g. For work abutting only part of a parcel’s total frontage, assessments will be calculated based on the partial frontage. The balance will be assessed as work is completed along the remaining frontage.
 - h. Definition of “addressed” side: The street with the house number.
 - i. No corner lot exception will be provided for combined properties that did not meet the corner lot criteria prior to their combination.
19. The Wheel Tax is used **only** for **sidewalk replacement**, reconstructed asphalt and concrete streets. ~~only~~. Not for rural to urban conversion to concrete pavement.
20. Portions of projects funded by TIF and IPLF are not assessable.

II. SIDEWALKS

A. General Information

| | | R-1 Zoning | | R-2 Zoning | | All Other Zoning** | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|--------|--|--------|---|--------|
| | | New | Recon. | New | Recon. | New | Recon. |
| SIDEWALKS (Not including New subdivisions) | Max. Width | 5' | 5' | 5' | 5' | 5' | 5' |
| | Max. Thickness | 5" | 5" | 5" | 5" | 7" | 7" |
| | Assessed at (%) | 100% | 125% | 100% | 125% | 100% | 125% |
| | Multiple Frontage Reduction | Yes* | Yes* | Yes* | Yes* | None | None |
| | Base Assessment Rate | Calculated annually based upon the average bid prices for the sidewalk reconstruction, concrete paving reconstruction and asphalt paving reconstruction contracts. | | | | | |
| (Y=Assessed N =Not Assessed) | | | | | | | |
| Construction Items | | New and Reconstruction not meeting replacement criteria | | Reconstruction meeting replacement criteria | | Individual Rates (if not included in current Rate above) | |
| Administrative Fees | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Property Owner Notification | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Concrete Sidewalk | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Seed & Mulch (max. of 18" on each side of walk) | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Terrace Restoration | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Sawcutting | | N | | N | | - | |
| Fine Grading | | N | | N | | - | |
| Miscellaneous Asphalt | | N | | N | | - | |
| Stone Base | | N | | N | | - | |
| Driveway Aprons - Removal and Replacement | | Y | | n/a | | - | |
| Unclassified Excavation | | N | | N | | - | |
| Erosion Control | | N | | N | | - | |
| Drill-in Tie Bars/Dowels/Rebar | | N | | N | | - | |
| Removal - Sidewalk | | N | | N | | - | |

* See Calculation Guidelines

** Residential Use Properties to be Assessed as R-2

B. Calculation Guidelines - Sidewalks

1. Assessments will be levied according to the front foot dimensions of abutting property, except as noted.
2. Sidewalks on right-of-ways 60 feet or more will be a minimum of 5 feet wide. Sidewalks on right-of ways less than 60 feet will be a minimum of 4 feet.
3. There will be no assessment for sidewalk that meets the replacement criteria as defined in the Sidewalk Maintenance Policy.
4. Assessments will be levied when sidewalks not meeting replacement criteria are replaced at the property owner's request.

5. Service walks between the curb and sidewalk will be assessed to the property owner when installed on new subdivision streets.
6. The extra expense of installing a sidewalk beyond the City's standard width or in an unusual manner at the request of the owner will be charged to the abutting property owner.
7. Public owned property, including lands under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education, Parks and Recreation Committee and other branches of city, state or county governments, churches and private schools and other exempt properties will be assessed 100% of the assessment rate regardless of the zoning.
8. To figure credit for useful life (20 years) of sidewalk: credit = divide age of sidewalk by 20. If less than 1.0, multiply that number by the current assessment rate.
9. For City contract installation, sidewalk assessments shall include a 6% administration fee.
10. Calculation Guidelines:
 - a. On multiple frontage lots zoned R-1 or R-2, the shortest side will be assessed at 100% of the assessment rate. On the other sides, the first 120 feet of each side will be assessed at 25% (R-2, 50%) of the assessment rate. The balance of the frontage will be assessed at 100% of the assessment rate.
 - b. On inside corner lots where all sides have equal footage, the side to be considered the short side is the "Addressed" side.
 - c. On lots having multiple frontages, there will be NO assessment for the frontage to which access is legally precluded or fronts on a naturally occurring access barrier such as a steep incline.
 - d. On inside corner or multiple frontage lots, the side or sides precluded from access is not included in the assessment frontage determination.
 - e. For work abutting only part of a parcel's total frontage, assessments will be calculated based on the partial frontage. The balance will be assessed as work is completed along the remaining frontage.
 - f. Definition of "addressed" side: The street with the house number.

III. SANITARY SEWER

A. General Information

| SANITARY SEWER (not including New Subdivisions) | | R-1 Zoning | | R-2 Zoning | | All Other Zoning** | |
|--|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|---|--------|
| | | New | Recon. | New | Recon. | New | Recon. |
| | Max. Size (Diameter) | 12" | 12" | 12" | 12" | 12" | 12" |
| | Max. Depth | 16' | 16' | 16' | 16' | 16' | 16' |
| | % Assessed (Main/Laterals) | 33%/50% | 0%/50% | 33%/50% | 0%/50% | 33%/50% | 0%/50% |
| | Multiple Frontage Reduction | Yes* | N/A | Yes* | N/A | Yes* | N/A |
| Current (33% main) Rate | Actual Cost | N/A | Actual Cost | N/A | Actual Cost | N/A | |
| (Y=Assessed N =Not Assessed) | | | | | | Individual Rates (if not included in current Rate above) | |
| Construction Items | | New | | Reconstruction | | | |
| Administrative Fees | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Property Owner Notification | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Sanitary area assessment | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Sanitary Sewer Main | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Sanitary Maintenance Holes | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Drop Maintenance Holes | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Maintenance Hole Castings | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Sanitary Laterals (50% Rate) | | Y | | Y | | 4" and 6"= \$52.00 > 6" = Actual Cost | |
| Private Lateral Televising | | N | | N | | - | |
| Lateral Connections | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Pipe Bedding | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Pipe Backfill Material | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Terrace Restoration | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Seed & Mulch | | Y | | N | | - | |
| Pavement Restoration | | N | | N | | - | |
| Sawcutting | | N | | N | | - | |
| Asphalt removal | | N | | N | | - | |
| Concrete Removal | | N | | N | | - | |
| Sidewalk Removal | | N | | N | | - | |
| Erosion Control | | N | | N | | - | |

* See Calculation Guidelines

** Residential Use Properties to be Assessed as R-2

B. Calculation Guidelines – Sanitary Sewer

1. Assessments will be levied according to the front foot dimensions of abutting property, except as noted. The assessment rate for new sanitary sewers will be determined on the basis of actual construction cost up to and including 12” sanitary sewer main and maintenance holes. The assessment rate for new construction in an existing area will be based upon the rates shown in the chart above.
2. Area assessment, where applicable, will be levied in accordance with Section 18-116 of the Municipal Code of the City of Appleton.

3. Any lot or parcel within the corporate limits which has not paid a sanitary sewer assessment when the main was installed will, at the time the lateral permit is taken out, be required to pay a connection fee with the lateral permit fee. Payment of a connection fee must be made in full prior to connecting. The connection fee, equivalent to the front foot assessment and area assessment, will be based on the assessment rates the year the main was installed.
4. When utilities are installed in a street where one side is within the corporate limits but remains undeveloped, assessments will be levied for the utilities that benefit the parcel. The area assessment for sanitary sewer is calculated using a nominal lot depth of 120 feet. The balance of the area assessment will be assessed when the property is developed and charged a connection fee in lieu of assessments.
5. On sanitary relay, where existing laterals meet the sanitary lateral policy and are not re-laid, the cost of reconnecting (including short sections of connecting pipe, usually within the trench area) is absorbed by the City and not assessed to the property owner.
6. Calculation Guidelines (see chart for applicability):
 - a. On multiple frontage lots zoned R-1 or R-2, the shortest side will be assessed at 100% of the assessment rate. On the other sides, the first 120 feet for each side will be assessed at 0% of the assessment. The balance of the frontage will be assessed at 100% of the assessment rate.
 - b. On multiple frontage lots where all sides have equal frontage, the side to be considered the short side is the “Addressed” side.
 - c. Where sewer exists across an entire parcel frontage, but sewer construction only occurs along part of a parcel’s total frontage, assessments will be calculated based on the partial frontage. The balance will be assessed as work is completed along the remaining frontage. Where sewer exists along only a portion of the parcel frontage, the entire frontage will be assessed.
 - d. Assessment for construction of sanitary sewer will be levied against all abutting property frontage regardless if laterals are present, provided that the property is not legally precluded from connecting to the sewer. Amount of assessment will be calculated according to existing policy.
 - e. Cost of sewer and maintenance hole construction deeper than 16 feet shall be borne by the city except where extra depth is required for development of adjacent property.
 - f. No corner lot exception will be provided for combined properties that did not meet the corner lot criteria prior to their combination.
 - g. For cul-de-sac lots, the assessable footage for each lot shall be the sum of frontages for all lots fully or partially abutting a cul-de-sac divided by the number of lots.
 - h. Assessable footage for sewers constructed within easements shall be equal to the centerline length of easement falling within the property. Assessments will be levied to only those properties with lateral connections to the easement sewer. The easement length will be considered as “frontage” for purposes of calculating multiple-frontage reductions (see 6a. above).
 - i. Sanitary main reconstruction will be borne by the Wastewater Utility.

IV. SANITARY SEWER LATERALS

A. General Definition

1. For new development funded by the City, the total cost of lateral installation will be assessed to the property.
2. The assessment rate for reconstruction of laterals and construction of new laterals in existing streets will be based upon the rates shown in the chart from Section III above.

B. Calculation Guidelines

1. Assessments for laterals will be based on the unit cost per foot as indicated in the chart in section III.A applied to the length of the lateral between the sanitary sewer and the property line. The length of lateral assessed shall not exceed $\frac{1}{2}$ the street right-of-way width.
2. Assessments for laterals within cul-de-sacs will be based on the actual length of lateral installed, but shall not exceed the right-of-way radius for the cul-de-sac bulb.
3. Assessments for laterals connected to sewers within easements will be based on actual length of lateral installed, but not to exceed $\frac{1}{2}$ the right-of-way width of the street for which the property is addressed.
4. Total Lateral Replacement Program Calculation Guidelines:
 - a. For properties electing to participate: No assessments will be levied for the portion of private lateral replaced within the public right-of-way. Property owners will be assessed 50% of the actual cost for lateral replacement on private property.
 - b. For properties declining to participate: City will only replace the portion of lateral within the public right-of-way. Property owners will be assessed 100% of the actual cost.

V. **STORMWATER FACILITIES**

A. General Information

| STORM SEWER (not including New Subdivisions) | | R-1 Zoning | | R-2 Zoning | | All Other Zoning** | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|---------|
| | | New | Recon. | New | Recon. | New | Recon. |
| | Max. Size (Diameter) | - | - | - | - | 15" | 15" |
| | Max. Depth | - | - | - | - | 10' | 10' |
| | % Assessed (Main/Laterals) | 0% / 0% ⁺ | 0% / 0% ⁺ | 0% / 0% ⁺ | 0% / 0% ⁺ | 33%/50% | 33%/50% |
| | Corner Lot Reduction | Yes* | Yes* | Yes* | Yes* | None | None |
| | Current Rate | Actual Cost | \$36.00 | Actual Cost | \$36.00 | Actual Cost | \$36.00 |
| (Y=Assessed N =Not Assessed) | | | | | | | |
| Construction Items | | New | | Reconstruction | | Individual Rates (if not included in current Rate above) | |
| Administrative Fees | | Y | | Y | | - | |
| Property Owner Notification | | Y | | Y | | - | |
| Regional Stormwater Facilities (built prior to 1/1/02) | | Y | | Y | | See rates Pg. 21 | |
| Regional Stormwater Facilities (built between 1/1/02 and 3/1/06) | | Y | | Y | | See rates Pg. 21 | |
| Regional Stormwater Facilities (built after 3/1/06) | | N | | N | | - | |
| Local Water Quality Practices | | N | | N | | - | |
| Storm Sewer Main | | Y | | Y | | - | |
| Storm Maintenance Holes | | Y | | Y | | - | |
| Inlets | | Y | | Y | | - | |
| Inlet Leads | | Y | | Y | | - | |
| Drop Maintenance Holes | | Y | | Y | | - | |
| Maintenance Hole Castings | | Y | | Y | | - | |
| Storm Laterals | | Y | | Y | | 6" = \$33.00 8" = \$44.00 10" = \$47.00 12" = \$51.00 Greater than 12" actual cost | |
| Lateral Connections | | N | | N | | - | |
| Pipe Bedding | | Y | | Y | | - | |
| Pipe Backfill Material | | Y | | Y | | - | |
| Terrace Restoration | | Y | | Y | | - | |
| Seed & Mulch | | Y | | Y | | - | |
| Pavement Restoration | | N | | N | | - | |
| Sawcutting | | N | | N | | - | |
| Asphalt removal | | N | | N | | - | |
| Concrete Removal | | N | | N | | - | |
| Sidewalk Removal | | N | | N | | - | |
| Erosion Control | | N | | N | | - | |

* See Calculation Guidelines

** Residential Use Properties to be Assessed as R-2

⁺ See Section V.B.3.b. for exceptions

B. Calculation Guidelines – Stormwater Facilities

1. Stormwater facilities shall be installed as needed to serve properties contributing to the need for, and benefiting from, such facilities. Storm main shall be installed to serve all properties on arterial streets prior to total reconstruction of the pavement.
2. Assessable stormwater facilities under this section include storm sewer, mains and piping, maintenance holes, inlets and inlet leads. Assessments shall also include overhead, property acquisition and financing costs attributable to the facilities.
3. Assessments for storm sewer will be levied according to the front foot dimensions of abutting property.
 - a. R-1, R-2, zoning
The cost of (re) constructing or relining in existing streets will be borne by the Stormwater Utility.
 - b. The cost of constructing or reconstructing storm sewers, mini-sewers or other drainage facilities in existing developed areas zoned R-1 and R-2 annexed after January 1, 1999 will be fully assessable to the abutting property owners.
 - c. All Other Zoning
The assessment rate for storm sewer (re) construction or relining in existing streets will be 33% of the actual construction cost, up to and including 15" storm main (not deeper than 10'), maintenance holes, inlets and inlet leads. Credit will be given for the remaining useful life of a reconstructed or relined sewer based on current cost of construction. For this purpose, the useful life of storm sewer will be 75 years.
4. Assessments for new developments will be based on the actual construction costs of facilities required by the subdivision and charged on a per lot basis. To calculate an equivalent lot cost for parkland, school properties or other atypical lots, use the average size of a new development lot that abuts the parkland, school property or atypical lot. Example, if a lot is 10,000 square feet and parkland, school property or atypical lot is 100,000 square feet; the charge for that land would be equivalent to 10 lots.
5. Any lot or parcel zoned other than R-1 or R-2, within the corporate limits which has not paid a storm sewer assessment when the main was installed will, at the time the lateral permit is taken out, be required to pay a connection fee with the lateral permit fee. This will be effective the same date as the initial Special Assessment policy for storm sewers. The connection fee, equivalent to the front foot and area assessment, will be based on the assessment rates the year the main was installed.
6. Public owned property, including lands under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education, Parks and Recreation Committee and other branches of city, state or county governments, churches and private schools and other exempt properties will be assessed 100% of the assessment rate regardless of the zoning.
7. Calculation Guidelines – (See chart for applicability):
 - a. On multiple frontage lots zoned R-1 or R-2, the shortest side will be assessed at 100% of the assessment rate. On the other sides, the first 120 feet of each side will be assessed at 0% of the assessment rate. The balance of the frontage will be assessed at 100% of the assessment rate.
 - b. On multiple frontage lots where all sides have equal frontage, the side to be considered the short side is the “Addressed” side.

- c. At the completion of the improvements, where sewer exists across an entire parcel frontage, but sewer construction only occurs along part of a parcel's total frontage, assessments will be calculated based on the partial frontage. The balance will be assessed as work is completed along the remaining frontage. Where sewer exists along only a portion of the parcel frontage, the entire frontage will be assessed.
- d. Assessment for reconstruction or relining of storm sewer will be levied only when the work affects the main to which the property is connected. Amount of assessment will be calculated according to the existing policy.
- e. No corner lot exception will be provided for combined properties that did not meet the corner lot criteria prior to the combination.
- f. New Development - 100% of actual construction costs of facilities required by the development plus area assessment.
- g. For cul-de-sac lots, the assessable footage for each lot shall be the sum of frontages for all lots fully or partially abutting a cul-de-sac divided by the number of lots.
- h. Assessable footage for sewers (re)constructed within Easements shall be equal to the centerline length of easement falling within the property. Assessments will be levied to only those properties with lateral connections to the easement sewer. The easement length will be considered as "frontage" for purposes of calculating multiple-frontage reductions (see 7a. above).
- i. Rural to urban conversion shall be assessed as new.

VI. STORM SEWER LATERALS

A. General Information

1. For new development funded by the City, the total cost of lateral installation will be assessed to the property.
2. The assessment rate for reconstruction of laterals and construction of new laterals in existing developed streets will be 50% of the actual construction cost.
3. Street Reconstruction:
 - a. ~~Arterial Streets~~ — Prior to total reconstruction of a street, **at locations where existing storm sewers are being replaced or new storm sewers are being installed**, storm laterals shall be installed to all properties that are not yet served.
 - b. ~~Non-arterial Streets~~ — Prior to total reconstruction of a street, storm laterals shall be installed to all properties not zoned R-1 or R-2. **In addition, laterals shall be installed to residential properties based on needs identified under the mini-sewer and rehabilitation programs.**

B. Calculation Guidelines

1. Assessments for laterals will be based on the unit cost per foot as indicated in the chart in section V.A applied to the length of the lateral between the storm sewer and the property line. The length of lateral assessed shall not exceed ½ the street right-of-way width.
2. Assessments for laterals within cul-de-sacs will be based on the actual length of lateral installed, but shall not exceed the right-of-way radius for the cul-de-sac bulb.
3. The cost of installing new laterals to properties zoned R-1 or R-2 will be borne by the Stormwater Utility unless the property was annexed after January 1, 1999.
4. Assessments for laterals connected to sewers within easements will be based on actual length of lateral installed, but not to exceed ½ the right-of-way width of the street for which the property is addressed.

VII. WATERMANS AND SERVICES

A. General Information

| WATER MAIN (not including New Subdivisions) | | R-1,R-2,R-3 Zoning | | C-1, C-2 Zoning | | All Other Zoning** | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------|
| | | New | Recon. | New | Recon. | New | Recon. |
| | Max. Size (Diameter) | 8" | 8" | 12" | 12" | 16" | 16" |
| | Max. Depth | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Assessed at (%) | 100% | 0%* | 100% | 0%* | 100% | 0%* |
| | Multiple Frontage Reduction | Yes* | Yes* | Yes* | Yes* | None | None |
| Current Rate | Actual Cost | Actual Cost | Actual Cost | Actual Cost | Actual Cost | Actual Cost | |

| (Y=Assessed N =Not Assessed) | New | Reconstruction | Individual Rates (if not included in current Rate above) |
|--|------------|-----------------------|---|
| Construction Items | | | |
| Administrative Fees | Y | N* | - |
| Property Owner Notification | Y | N* | - |
| Local Water Main | Y | N* | - |
| Transmission Main | N* | N* | - |
| Valves | Y | N* | - |
| Hydrants | Y | N* | - |
| Hydrant Leads | Y | N* | - |
| 1" - 1 1/4" Water Service (Including connection) In New Street(s) | Y | N* | Actual Cost |
| 1" - 1 1/4" Water Service (Including connection) In Existing Street(s) | Y | N* | Actual Cost |
| 1 1/2" - 2" Water Service (Including connection) In New Street(s) | Y | N* | Actual Cost |
| 1 1/2" - 2" Water Service (Including connection) In Existing Street(s) | Y | N* | Actual Cost |
| Pipe Bedding | Y | N* | - |
| Pipe Backfill Material | Y | N* | - |
| Terrace Restoration | Y | N* | - |
| Seed & Mulch | Y | N* | - |
| Pavement Restoration | N | N | - |
| Sawcutting | N | N | - |
| Asphalt removal | N | N | - |
| Concrete Removal | N | N | - |
| Sidewalk Removal | N | N | - |
| Erosion Control | Y | N | - |

* See Calculation Guidelines

** Residential Use Properties to be Assessed as R-2

B. Calculation Guidelines – Water Mains and Services

1. Assessments will be levied according to the front foot dimensions of abutting property, except as noted. The assessment rate will be determined on the basis of actual construction cost required by the city for development:
 - a. R-1, R-2 and R-3 zoning. All costs to construct water main up to and including 8” main, hydrants and valves.

- b. C-1 and C-2 zoning. All costs to construct water main up to and including 12” main, hydrants and valves.
 - c. Other zoning. All costs to construct water main up to and including 16” main, hydrants and valves.
 - d. Water main installed for transmission use shall not be assessed, except if no other main is available for service. The property shall be assessed at the rate of the year the main was installed based on zoning at the time of connection.
 - e. All costs to furnish and install hydrants, including leads and valves shall be assessed.
 - f. In-kind water main reconstruction, including hydrants and leads, is not assessed.
 - g. All additional costs to upgrade a water main, including additional valving due to a service and/or fire line, when requested, shall be borne by the property owner.
 - h. New, and/or additional water main(s) installed for circulation and/or looping in a developed area shall not be assessed, except in case of B1.d.
2. Permission to connect to the City water main prior to annexation must be obtained from the Common Council through the Utilities Committee.
 3. Any lot or parcel within the corporate limits which has not paid a water main assessment when the main was installed will, at the time the water lateral permit is taken out, be required to pay the connection fee with the lateral permit fee. Payment of a connection fee must be made in full prior to connecting. The connection fee, equivalent to the front foot water main assessment, will be based on the assessment rate the year the main was installed. Payment for connection fees may be made in accordance with Section XI.
 4. New Service Installation. The property owner or developer per Schedule Cz-1 (attached) shall pay all installation costs from the main through and including the curb shut-off.
 5. Replacement of Service. All additional cost to upgrade a service (example, 1” copper to 4” service line) shall be borne by the property owner or developer.
 6. Public owned property, including lands under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education, Parks and Recreation Committee and other branches of city & county governments, churches and private schools and other exempt properties will be assessed 100% of the C-1, C-2 assessment rate regardless of the zoning.
 7. Calculation Guidelines (see chart for applicability):
 - a. On multiple frontage lots zoned R-1, R-2 or R-3, the shortest side will be assessed at 100% of the assessment rate. On the other sides, the first 120 feet of each side will be assessed at 0% of the assessment rate. The balance of the frontage will be assessed at 100% of the assessment rate.
 - b. No corner lot exception will be provided for combined properties that did not meet the corner lot criteria prior to their combination.
 - c. Where water main exists across an entire parcel frontage, but construction only occurs along part of a parcel’s total frontage, assessments will be calculated based on the partial frontage. The balance will be assessed as work is completed along the remaining frontage. Where water main exists along only a portion of the parcel frontage, the entire frontage will be assessed.
 - d. On multiple frontage lots where all sides have equal frontage, the side to be considered the short side is the “Addressed” side.
 - e. For cul-de-sac lots, the assessable footage for each lot shall be the sum of frontages for all lots fully or partially abutting a cul-de-sac divided by the number of lots.

- f. Assessable footage for water mains (re)constructed within Easements shall be equal to the centerline length of easement falling within the property. Assessments will be levied to only those properties with service connections to the easement main. The easement length will be considered as “frontage” for purposes of calculating multiple-frontage reductions (see 7a. above).
- g. Assessments for water services will be based on the unit cost per foot as indicated in the chart in section VII.A applied to the length of the service between the main and the property line. The length of water service assessed shall not exceed ½ the street right-of-way width.
- h. Assessments for water services within cul-de-sacs will be based on the actual length of service installed, but shall not exceed the right-of-way radius for the cul-de-sac bulb.
- i. Assessments for laterals connected to watermain within easements will be based on actual length of lateral installed, but not to exceed ½ the right-of-way width of the street for which the property is addressed.

VIII. STREET LIGHTING

A. Calculation Guidelines

1. Assessments for non-decorative streetlights will be levied according to the front foot dimensions of abutting property except as noted.
2. Assessments will be levied at the time of and in conjunction with the initial street light installation.
3. The assessment rate will be based on the actual cost of installation.
4. The assessment rate for replacement of existing streetlights will be based upon the additional cost of enhanced features beyond standard street light requirements.

B. Assessment Exceptions:

- a. On multiple frontage lots zoned R-1, R-2 or R-3, the shortest side will be assessed at 100% of the assessment rate. On the other sides, the first 120 feet of each side will be assessed at 0% of the assessment rate. The balance of the frontage will be assessed at 100% of the assessment rate.
- b. On inside corner or multiple frontage lots, the side or sides precluded from access is not included in the assessment frontage.

IX. NEW SUBDIVISIONS

A. General Information

| NEW SUBDIVISION DEVELOPMENT | | Subdivisions Platted prior to 1/1/04 or after 12/31/14 | Subdivisions Platted between 1/1/04 and 12/31/14 | |
|--|------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | Funding Mechanisms | Private Contracts / City Funds (Assessable) | Private Contracts /Standby Lines of Credit | |
| | Development Agreement Required? | No | Yes | |
| | Assessed at (%) | 100% | 100% | |
| | Assessment Rates | Actual Costs Incurred. | Actual Costs Incurred. | |
| Construction Items | | (Y=City Funded/Assessable D=Developer Financed) | (Y=City Funded/Escrow Draws D=Developer Financed) | |
| | | Platted Prior to 1/1/04 | Platted After 12/31/14 | |
| City Administrative Fees | | Y | Y | Y |
| Area Assessment - Sanitary | | Y | Y | Y |
| Regional Stormwater Facilities | | Y | Y | Y |
| Sewer Televising | | Y | Y | Y |
| Temporary Asphalt Pavement | | Y | Y | Y |
| Concrete Pavement ⁺ | | Y | Y | D |
| Sidewalks | | Y | Y | D |
| Boulevard Trees | | Y | Y | D |
| Street Name Signs | | Y | Y | Y |
| Traffic Control Signs | | Y | Y | Y |
| Sanitary Sewer | | D | D | D |
| Sanitary Overbuild | | D | D | D |
| Storm Sewer | | D | D | D |
| Storm Overbuild | | D | D | D |
| Water Main | | D | D | D |
| Water Main Overbuild | | D | D | D |
| Sanitary Laterals | | D | D | D |
| Storm Laterals | | D | D | D |
| Water Services | | D | D | D |
| Rear-yard Drains | | D | D | D |
| Grading & Graveling (Right-of-way) | | D | D | D |
| Lot Grading | | D | D | D |
| Private Utilities (Gas, Electric, Telephone, Cable TV) | | D | D | D |
| Seed & Mulch (Right-of-way) | | D | D | D |
| Seed & Mulch (Lot areas) | | D | D | D |
| Street Lights | | D | D | D |
| Erosion Control | | D | D | D |

* See Calculation Guidelines

⁺ See Section IX.B.2 for exceptions

B. Calculation Guidelines – New subdivisions

1. The City does not provide funding for New Subdivisions platted between January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2014 except as indicated in the chart above. Escrow accounts or

irrevocable lines of credit will be required of developers for all items administered or installed by the City.

2. For subdivisions platted prior to 1/1/04 or after 12/31/14, assessments for concrete pavement shall be levied in accordance with Section I.A. of this policy.
3. Engineering fees for new subdivision developments shall be included in assessments. Included shall be preparation of plans and specifications, consultant fees, material testing fees, field survey, inspection and assessment preparation.
4. Individual lots within but not part of a new development when funded by the city shall be assessed at the current city interest rate.
5. Extraordinary sanitary sewer construction costs not to be assessed include pipes larger than 12" and depths greater than 16' when project funded by the city.
6. Typical residential street lighting will consist of LED cut-off style fixture, mounted 30-feet high on a wooden pole, spaced anywhere from 250 to 300 feet apart. **Decorative lighting beyond these standards will have on-going annual special assessments per development agreements.**
7. The effective date for interest to begin accumulating on new subdivision developments will be the date of invoice. This includes all city utilities and street work.
8. Storm sewer area assessment for regional facilities built prior to 3-1-2006 shall be based on the cost of storm sewer needed to serve the subdivision and the share of downstream trunk main.

X. SPECIAL CHARGES

A. General definition

1. Special charges shall accrue interest starting 30 days following the invoice date. Interest shall accrue at the same rate as for special assessments. (Board of Public Works, June 6, 1990).

XI. METHOD OF PAYMENT

A. General Definition

1. Lump sum payment to be paid at due date.
2. One installment if the assessment is \$1000 or less.
3. Five equal annual installments if the assessment is greater than \$1000.
4. Deferred payments will bear an interest at the rate of prime plus 3.00% per annum on the unpaid balance.
5. Separate current year special assessment bills may be combined to establish eligibility for the \$1000 limit for installment payment options. Finance Department must be notified prior to November 1.

XII. APPEAL PROCESS

1. If the governing body decides to reconsider and reopen any assessment, the body may, after giving a public notice as required for the initial assessment, and after a public hearing, amend, cancel or confirm the prior assessment. Any request for a change to the first assessment, must be made within 30 days of the passage of the original final resolution. The Clerk shall publish a notice of any resolution changing the assessment, as was done with the original assessment.

XIII. MISCELLANEOUS

1. Deferred payment of special assessments is not permitted. (See WI Statutes Chapter 74.)
2. Any construction project where right-of-way acquisition would contribute to 25% or more of the assessment rate shall be brought to the **Finance Committee** for review and establishment of an appropriate assessment rate. In establishing this rate, the Board will consider assessment rates for similar projects and any other information it considers relevant.

XIV. REFERENCED ITEMS

1/17/83 Street and Sanitation Committee #3

The following policy concerning sump pump discharges adopted, “When streets are paved where storm sewer laterals exist, curb openings for sump pump discharges or surface drainage shall not be allowed.”

7/06/83 Street and Sanitation Committee #6

Most of this information has been put in Appendix IX Stormwater/Clear Water Discharges

3/03/93 Street and Sanitation Committee #10

Any driveway adjacent to a street paving project that is not used will be closed with curb and gutter and will have the terrace reseeded in conjunction with the street paving. The cost of this work is to be included with the assessment for curb and gutter on the project.

Any driveway aprons without improved surfaces shall be either paved with permanent surface or abandoned and closed in conjunction with a street paving project. The cost of either option will be assessed to the property.

2/02/94 Board of Public Works Report - This was adopted as part of the assessment policy.

“Publicly owned property, including lands under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education, Park Board, Water Department and other branches of city, state or county governments, and churches and private schools be assessed 100% of the assessment rate.

11/16/94 Municipal Services Committee #2 - “Resolved, that when a property asks for a second curb cut, the two neighboring properties to each side of the requesting property be notified and asked if they have any problems with the curb cut. If any of the four (4) properties are against the second curb cut, the request must be brought to Municipal Services Committee and Council for approval, thus all neighbors have an avenue for input.”

1/18/95 Board of Public Works #3 - The Board reaffirms its previous recommendation that: The special assessment policy for stormwater that recovers 75% of costs from new

developers and 40% from existing benefiting owners be approved. Existing is defined as that a building permit has been issued at the time of adoption of the policy by the Common Council.

1/17/96 Board of Public Works – This was adopted with the assessment policy.
Sidewalks B.2 – The assessment rate for reconstruction of sidewalks will be 125% of the rate of new sidewalks.

9/03/97 Municipal Services Committee – Amended Driveway Opening Policy “Any driveway adjacent to a street reconstruction project that is not used will be permanently paved or closed with curb and gutter and will have terrace reseeded in conjunction with the street work.

3/03/99 Board of Public Works – “Resolved, that the repair and replacement of existing sidewalks in the green dot program be paid by the general fund after green dot has gone through the City once. All hazardous sidewalks as defined by City criteria will be replaced at City cost.”

5/15/13 Board of Public Works – Prime plus 3% will be the rate set for the 5-year payment option.

XV. SPECIAL ASSESSMENT RATES

| | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---|
| Sanitary Sewer Laterals Reconstruction | 4” and 6” > 6” | \$52.00/ft actual cost |
| Storm Sewer Reconstruction up to & including 15" main: | | \$36.00/ft |
| Storm Sewer Laterals Reconstruction | 6" 8" 10" 12" > 12” | \$31.00/ft \$37.00/ft \$40.00/ft \$43.00/ft actual cost |
| New Water Main - Zoning R1, R2, R3 | up to & including 8" main: | actual cost |
| Zoning C1, C2 | up to & including 12" main: | actual cost |
| Other Zoning | up to & including 16" main: | actual cost |

Rates for previous Stormwater Detention Basins (Cost per ERU’s)

| | | |
|----------------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| SE Basin | 75% of cost | \$173.25 |
| AAL Basin | 75% of cost | \$430.20 |
| Meade Pond | | \$797.04 |
| Holland Pond | | \$345.78 |
| Ashbury Pond | | \$593.76 |
| Mud Creek South Pond | | \$815.00 (2002 basin rate) |

Cost for 2003 basins \$860.00
 Southpoint Commerce Park Pond North (K2a), Plank Road West

Cost for 2004 basins \$915.00
 Southpoint Commerce Park Pond South (K2B)

Cost for 2005 basins \$1,104.00
 Plank Road Northwest Pond

Sheet No. 1 of 1
Schedule No. Cz-1
Amendment No. 64

RATE FILE
Public Service Commission of Wisconsin
Appleton Water Department

| |
|-----------------------------------|
| WATER LATERAL INSTALLATION CHARGE |
|-----------------------------------|

Subdivision developers shall be responsible, where the main extension has been approved by the utility, for the water service lateral installation costs from the main through the curb stop and box.

When the cost of a utility main extension is to be collected through assessment by the municipality, the actual average water lateral installation costs from the main through the curb stop and box shall be included in the assessment of the appropriate properties.

The initial water service lateral(s), not installed as part of a subdivision development or an assessable utility extension, will be installed from the main through the curb stop and box by the utility, for which the actual cost will be charged.

Billing: Same as Schedule Mg-1.

EFFECTIVE: December 30, 2010
PSCW AUTHORIZATION: 190-WR-112

Kami L. Lynch

From: Sheri Hartzheim
Sent: Friday, November 3, 2023 6:02 AM
To: Jeri A. Ohman; Danielle L. Block
Cc: Kami L. Lynch
Subject: Fwd: Pages 344/345
Attachments: 2023 Assessment Policy - Adopted 6-01-22.pdf

Director Ohman and Clerk Lych,

If it is not too late, please could the information contained in this email also be included in my proposed budget amendment regarding the special assessment rate for new subdivision concrete?

Please let me know if we can still get this into the agenda. I think it's helpful added information I'd like to share with the council to support the amendment.

Thanks!
Sheri

Sheri S Hartzheim
City of Appleton Alderman - District 13
Chairman - Human Resources and Information Technology Committee
[920.570.2873](tel:920.570.2873)
Email: district13@appleton.org
[Like & Follow on Facebook](#)
[District 13 Blog](#)

From: Danielle L. Block <Danielle.Block@appleton.org>
Sent: Wednesday, November 1, 2023 4:47 PM
To: Sheri Hartzheim <District13@Appleton.org>
Cc: Jeri A. Ohman <Jeri.Ohman@Appleton.org>; Jake Woodford <Jake.Woodford@Appleton.org>; Peter Neuberger <Peter.Neuberger@Appleton.org>; Nathan Loper <Nathan.Loper@Appleton.org>; Hilary K. Pattermann <Hilary.Pattermann@appleton.org>
Subject: RE: Pages 344/345

Hello Alder Hartzheim-

The additional revenue that comes from the change from 75% special assessment to 100% special assessment will vary based on the project. However, using the 2023 As-Bid Concrete Contraction Costs associated with the upcoming Amethyst (Bluetopaz to Aquamarine), here is an estimate. The City would assess an additional \$52,351 = (\$205,907 - \$153,556). The total cost of the project is approximately \$236,240 in concrete pavement.

The 2024 Concrete Construction costs could come in slightly higher, using the 2023 bid-prices is the best information I have at this time.

A per-owner estimate will vary depending on the frontage. The 2023 rate per foot would go up from \$72.30/ft to \$96.10/ft. Again, using 2023 bid prices/rates. For example – a home with 110' of footage:

110' * \$71.52/ft = \$7,152

110' * \$95.36/ft = \$9,536

\$9,536 is 100% of the assessable costs of the concrete roadway pavement. \$7,152 is 75% of the assessable costs of the concrete roadway pavement.

Keep in mind there are other assessments during a new roadway that are billed to the homeowner by current policy (and are not proposed to be changed). These include street trees, sidewalks, and driveway aprons, etc. I've included the 2023 Special Assessment Policy for reference.

The item was brought forward with the budget proposal given the change in revenue within the Subdivision Fund. It was important to illustrate the impact of lagging revenues to support future development through the Subdivision Fund. Without the use of long-term debt, the fund is not able to continue new development. This proposal is an adjustment to improve the sustainability of the Subdivision Fund.

Thank you-

Dani Block

Director of Public Works
City of Appleton

From: Sheri Hartzheim <District13@Appleton.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 31, 2023 3:14 PM
To: Danielle L. Block <Danielle.Block@appleton.org>
Cc: Jeri A. Ohman <Jeri.Ohman@Appleton.org>
Subject: Pages 344/345

Hi Dani,

Can you please quantify (dollars wise) the amount of city savings that comes from the change from 75% special assessment to 100% special assessment? What is the projected dollar figure difference between what we expect in 2024 with the 100% assessment as opposed to the existing 75%? Is there a "per property owner" estimate of the difference you can provide me for 2024?

Also... can you please tell me why this was not brought forward outside of the budget process? Since this is a major policy change, I think it warrants more discussion than just "approve the budget and it approve this policy change."

Thanks!

Sheri

Sheri S Hartzheim
City of Appleton Alderman - District 13
Chairman - Human Resources and Information Technology Committee
920.570.2873
Email: district13@appleton.org
[Like & Follow on Facebook](#)
[District 13 Blog](#)

CITY OF APPLETON 2024 BUDGET RESOLUTION

Resolved,

The 2024 Executive Budget and Service Plan as published in the newspaper and subsequently amended by the Common Council, be adopted as amended. There be levied upon the taxable property of the several wards of the City of Appleton the sum of \$39,131,000 for General Fund purposes;

Be it further resolved,

There be levied upon the taxable property of the several wards of the City of Appleton the sum of \$13,537,887 for Debt Service Funds;

There be levied upon the taxable property of the several wards of the City of Appleton the sum of \$2,092,000 for Special Revenue Funds;

The Department of Finance be authorized to sell general obligation promissory notes and/or bonds in the amount of \$13,891,662, including contracting with bond counsel, financial advisors and rating agencies, as necessary. Further, an official statement be prepared and distributed by the Director of Finance in support of the sale.

The Director of Finance be authorized to sell water, sewer, or stormwater revenue supported bonds in the amount of \$12,865,000, including contracting with bond counsel, financial advisors and rating agencies, as necessary. Further, an Official Statement be prepared and distributed by the Director of Finance in support of the sale.

Be it further resolved,

That all projects included herein are not appropriated or authorized to proceed until non-tax funding sources are committed without recourse.