Mon, Dec 12, 2022

Item 22-1532: Sole Source - Request to award contract to ISG for design services for Lundgaard Park in the amount of \$297,420 with a design contingency of \$25,000 for a total contract not to exceed \$322,420

Parks and Recreation Committee

Mon, Dec 12, 2022 6:30PM

Alderperson Nate Wolff (District 12) 01:10

First up 22-1532 action items sole source requests to award contract to ISG for design services for Lundgaard Park, in the amount of \$297,420 with a design contingency of \$25,000 for a total contract not to exceed \$322,420.

Alderperson Sheri Hartzheim (District 13) 01:36

Move for approval.

Alderperson Alex Schultz (District 9) 01:37

Second.

Alderperson Nate Wolff (District 12) 01:39

Director Gazza, would you like to say something?

Director Dean Gazza (Parks, Recreation, and Facilities) 01:46

You know, just say a few notes on this one. We—ISG was hired to do the preliminary planning for the park design when we did conceptual and then helped us with the website for the fundraising. And they had scored the highest. And they really, I guess, impressed, you know, both our team and the fire department. And that's why we're going through with a sole source is to continue that relationship and continue their work versus starting over. You know, generally what a project, you're issuing it the entire contract at one time. This one's a little bit different that we hired them to do just an initial piece with you know, but the intent is always to stay with the same designer throughout. Otherwise, you're just starting over with somebody new. So that's the reason for the sole source. This work would not design the entire park. This is meant to just the design—do the site work, utility work, and initial design of the courts, the playground, and some of the site amenities. If the money is secured to do the facility, the building, the pavilion, then at that time, an additional contract would be let for that work. But that money would come from that contract—from the money we get from the donor also.

Alderperson Nate Wolff (District 12) 03:15

Alderperson Hartzheim.

Alderperson Sheri Hartzheim (District 13) 03:17

Thank you chair, may I address Director Gazza? Director Gazza, is 10% of \$2 million what you expected for design services for this particular park?

Director Dean Gazza (Parks, Recreation, and Facilities) 03:30

Yeah, generally 10% or a little bit more right now just because...

Alderperson Sheri Hartzheim (District 13) 03:33

Because it's a starting step?

AllThingsAppleton.com

Parks And Recreation Committee

Mon, Dec 12, 2022

Director Dean Gazza (Parks, Recreation, and Facilities) 03:35

Yep. And going from—so what it is, is, um, it'll be for phase one, which we considered the \$2 million. And then there is some schematic work that would take us into—because we have to determine elevations and so forth if a building work to come, so there was a little bit of crossover into that. So that's why the number, we negotiated that number to get to that number and felt it was very fair.

Alderperson Sheri Hartzheim (District 13) 04:05

And the previous services that were offered by ISG did not do any of that? They were all it was all sort of pie in the sky kind of stuff?

Director Dean Gazza (Parks, Recreation, and Facilities) 04:12

Yeah.

Alderperson Sheri Hartzheim (District 13) 04:12

Okay. Thank you.

Alderperson Nate Wolff (District 12) 04:16

I am excited to see some, you know, something happening with this project. An exciting project. Alderperson Schultz.

Alderperson Alex Schultz (District 9) 04:26

Thank you chair. Another question for Director Gazza. I'm curious to know that this would fund design for everything but that pavilion?

Director Dean Gazza (Parks, Recreation, and Facilities) 04:36

Yeah. Yeah, for the pavilion, there's, for example, there is a monument that would go in the park. So the area where the monument would go, the base for the monument but the monument itself is very specific that that would be something that a specific designer would work on. So that was left out.

Director Dean Gazza (Parks, Recreation, and Facilities) 05:02

I'm trying to think. And then there was a water feature that would go with the pavilion, because all the pumps and all the equipment would be involved with that facility. So the water feature was left out too. But all other menu—amenities like the courts, the trails, the walks there, the where the monument would go, would be all included in this.

Alderperson Alex Schultz (District 9) 05:28

So the \$2 million that was approved in the '23 budget that covers all of that, with the exception of...?

Director Dean Gazza (Parks, Recreation, and Facilities) 05:35

We're hopefully that \$2 million. Yeah, we'll, we'll end up bidding with some alternates in the event that, you know, goes over, but we hope 2 million will accomplish all of that.

Alderperson Alex Schultz (District 9) 05:45

Okay.

Director Dean Gazza (Parks, Recreation, and Facilities) 05:48

I will note, too, is that we have already reached out to what we consider major donors. And we got some verbal commitments, but nothing secured as of yet, because there's, there's still some thought taking place, and

Parks And Recreation Committee

Mon, Dec 12, 2022

there's some more meetings that are occurring. So we do know at this time that we will have funding above that amount, but we just don't know how much and then the fundraising campaign will kick off through the Friends website, probably in the next couple of weeks to the month.

Alderperson Alex Schultz (District 9) 06:21

Can you give us a sense of the what that dollar amount is to accomplish the entire design with the shelter? So what's that? The pavilion?

Director Dean Gazza (Parks, Recreation, and Facilities) 06:32

Four and a half million dollars to \$5 million. You know, I increase it, you know, every time we hit the construction costs, but four and a half million dollars, should, you know, give us a very complete Park.

Alderperson Nate Wolff (District 12) 06:46

I've heard that a few times that we're going to—it's going to kick off in the next week or two weeks. What seems to be the thing slowing us down the most?

Director Dean Gazza (Parks, Recreation, and Facilities) 06:57

There, there was some other let's say fundraising, in some situation over at the fire department. Went right when we were going to kick it off, and we thought it was just horrible timing. There was, there was an illness by a, you know, a firefighter, I should say. And then there were some other things. So the timing of that. And then we had, we had felt that if we reached out to the major donors, prior to kicking it off, that would be a good first step to make the calls first, and then launch it. So just kind of methodical way of releasing the launch, versus just throwing it out there.

Alderperson Nate Wolff (District 12) 07:40

Okay. Alderperson Hartzheim.

Alderperson Sheri Hartzheim (District 13) 07:42

Thank you, Chair. Director Gazza, could you or your department to provide me with that contact information as far as what website we're looking at. And where—you know, when this kicks off, I'd like to use district 13 as a sort of jumping off point. So that'll be helpful. Thank you.

Alderperson Nate Wolff (District 12) 08:04

Sorry. So I would like to ask our audience tonight, what they're here for. This is courtesy of Alderperson Schultz, by the way. So are you here for a class? Do you know anything about Lundgaard Park? The park we're talking about and why it's being built and everything? Do you think like the provisions in it are cool? Do you know? What do you think of this park?

Director Dean Gazza (Parks, Recreation, and Facilities) 08:36

Deputy Flicks daughter is in the audience. Maybe deputy, maybe Deputy Flick could bring some of those concepts home and have her share that with her friends to get some more feedback.

Alderperson Nate Wolff (District 12) 08:54

All right. That's enough. I think she's dead now. I think we need to call the fire department. Okay. All right. So no? All right. So we have a motion in a second on this item. All right. Any other further discussion? Okay. So all those in favor say aye. Aye. All those opposed, say nay. The ayes have it.